



## MPO TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING

[www.laredompo.org](http://www.laredompo.org)

**Meeting Date & Time: August 8<sup>th</sup>, 2023 2:30 p.m.**

**Meeting Location: Transit Center Conference Room - 1301 Farragut St. Laredo, TX 78040**

### **AGENDA:**

1. Chairperson to call meeting to order.
2. Discussion and recommendation on Resolution No. MPO 2023-11, adopting the FY 2022 Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (APL).
3. Discussion and recommendation on programming of projects with Carbon Reduction Program funds.
4. Update on the upcoming MPO Policy Committee meeting draft agenda.
5. Discussion of old or new business.
6. Adjournment.

**LAREDO & WEBB COUNTY AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
ACTION ITEM**

<b>DATE:</b> 08-16-23	<b>ITEM:</b>
<b>SUBJECT:</b> RESOLUTION Receive public testimony and approve Resolution No. MPO 2023-11 adopting the FY2022 Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (APL).	
<b>INITIATED BY:</b> Staff	<b>STAFF SOURCE:</b> Juan S. Mendive, AICP MPO Director
<b>PREVIOUS POLICY ACTION:</b> On July 19, 2023, the Laredo Webb County Area MPO (LWCAMPO) Policy Committee approved to receive public testimony and initiate a 20-day public review comment period for the FY2022 Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (APL).	
<b>BACKGROUND:</b> Federal regulations require MPOs, state departments of transportation, and public transportation operator(s) to develop a 4-year Transportation Improvement program (TIP) and a listing of federally funded projects that were obligated in the preceding program year. As per 23 CFR 450.334, the Annual Listing of Obligated Projects, also known as the APL, needs to be published or otherwise made available in accordance with the MPO's Public Participation Plan criteria for the TIP.  While in previous years this report did not require Policy Committee approval and only needed to be submitted to the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), TxDOT's Transportation Planning & Programming Division has requested that the LWCAMPO Policy Committee approval be obtained by resolution for the final version of the FY2022 APL.	
<b>COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:</b> The Technical Committee recommends approval.	<b>STAFF RECOMMENDATION:</b> Staff recommends approval.



# LAREDO & WEBB COUNTY

## AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

### RESOLUTION NO. MPO 2023-11

BY THE LAREDO WEBB COUNTY AREA  
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
POLICY COMMITTEE

#### **ADOPTING THE FY 2022 ANNUAL LISTING OF OBLIGATED PROJECTS (APL).**

**WHEREAS**, the Laredo Webb County Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (LWCAMPO), is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization, for the Laredo Urbanized Area; and,

**WHEREAS**, in metropolitan planning areas, on an annual basis, no later than 90 calendar days following the end of the program year, the State, public transportation operator(s), and the MPO shall cooperatively develop a listing of projects (including investments in pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities) for which funds under 23 U.S.C. or 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 were obligated in the preceding program year; and,

**WHEREAS**, the listing shall be prepared in accordance with §450.314(a) and shall include all federally funded projects authorized or revised to increase obligations in the preceding program year, and shall at a minimum include the TIP information under §450.324(e)(1) and (4) and identify, for each project, the amount of Federal funds requested in the TIP, the Federal funding that was obligated during the preceding year, and the Federal funding remaining and available for subsequent years; and,

**WHEREAS**, the Annual Listing of Obligated Projects, also known as the APL, shall be published or otherwise made available in accordance with the MPO's public participation criteria for the TIP.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Laredo Webb County Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (LWCAMPO), that:

Section 1: The Laredo Webb County Area MPO hereby agrees to adopt the FY 2022 Annual Listing of Obligated Projects.

**We certify that the above resolution was passed and adopted on this 16th day of August 2023, at a public meeting of the Policy Committee of the Laredo Webb County Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (LWCAMPO).**

---

Honorable Tano E. Tijerina  
Webb County Judge and Chairperson of the  
LWCAMPO Policy Committee

---

Juan S. Mendive, AICP  
MPO Director

---

Epigmenio "Epi" Gonzalez, P.E.  
TxDOT District Engineer



# **Laredo Webb County Area MPO**

## **Annual Projects Listing**

**FY 2022**

Federal-Aid Project Number:  
2021233

CSJ Number:  
0018-04-063

MPO Project ID:

Sponsor:

Phase of Work:  
C

Project Name/Facility:  
IH 35

Limits:  
From: 1.1 MI NORTH OF US 83  
To: MILE MARKER 23 (SBML)

Funding Categories:  
1

Project Description:  
RESURFACE ROADWAY

Amount of Federal Funding Programmed in MPO TIP: \$ 4,146,634.67

Amount of Federal Funding Obligated in Fiscal Year: \$ 4,146,634.67

Amount of Federal Funding Remaining and Available for Subsequent Years: \$ 0.00

Federal-Aid Project Number:  
2021883

CSJ Number:  
0038-01-095

MPO Project ID:

Sponsor:

Phase of Work:  
C

Project Name/Facility:  
US 83

Limits:  
From: US 83 SB  
To: LOMAS DEL SUR BLVD.

Funding Categories:  
8

Project Description:  
HAZARD ELIMINATION & SAFETY

Amount of Federal Funding Programmed in MPO TIP: \$ 169,389.91

Amount of Federal Funding Obligated in Fiscal Year: \$ 169,389.91

Amount of Federal Funding Remaining and Available for Subsequent Years: \$ 0.00

Federal-Aid Project Number:  
2022923

CSJ Number:  
0018-06-215

MPO Project ID:

Sponsor:

Phase of Work:  
C

Project Name/Facility:  
IH 35

Limits:  
From: 0.4 MI N OF KILLAM  
To: KILLAM

Funding Categories:  
8

Project Description:  
INSTALL ILLUMINATION

Amount of Federal Funding Programmed in MPO TIP: \$ 275,574.24

Amount of Federal Funding Obligated in Fiscal Year: \$ 275,574.24

Amount of Federal Funding Remaining and Available for Subsequent Years: \$ 0.00





# Carbon Reduction Program

TxDOT – MPOs coordination meeting

February 17, 2023



<b>1</b>	Carbon Reduction Strategy	3
<b>2</b>	Carbon Reduction Program Overview	5
<b>3</b>	Eligible Activities	7
<b>4</b>	Funding Notes	9
<b>5</b>	Fiscal Year 22/23 Category 10 Carbon Reduction	10





As part of the Carbon Reduction Program, the State, in consultation with MPOs, is required to develop a **Carbon Reduction Strategy** that aims to:


Reduce traffic congestion by facilitating use of alternatives to SOV trips

Facilitate the use of vehicles/modes of travel that result in lower transportation emissions

Facilitate the construction of transportation assets that result in lower transportation emissions

Quantify the total carbon emissions from the production, transport, and use of materials used in the construction of transportation facilities within the State

The Carbon Reduction Strategy is not binding, and the money will flow before it is completed and regardless of whether it achieves its objectives



# Carbon Reduction Strategy – Development Schedule



Additional MPO coordination and consultation will be scheduled, as required and needed, to discuss allocation of State portion of CRP funds.



The Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) is a Federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) program administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

## Goal

Provide funding for projects designed to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from on-road highway sources

## Formula Funding

\$6.4 billion total (U.S. wide) from FY2022 to 2026

## Strategy

States are required to develop a **Carbon Reduction Strategy** by Nov 15, 2023, in consultation with MPOs. Must be updated every 4 years.

**Texas will receive ~\$641M for FY 22-26 in Federal Apportionment**

65%

\$416.65M  
urban areas  
based on  
population

\$224.35M  
for any  
location  
statewide

35%

**There is a 20% STATE MATCH**



MPOs

Have the authority to allocate corresponding Federal apportionments, including the State match (Fed 80% - State 20%)

STIP

Projects must be listed individually in the STIP

TxDOT

Will manage part of the 65% allocated to smaller populations (less than 5,000 and 5,000 to 50,000 people)

*\* A percentage of the eligible Carbon Reduction Program funds have been transferred to other federal eligible programs \**

CRP funds are available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized (See 23 U.S.C. 118(b)). Thus, CRP funds are available for obligation for up to 4 years.

*Any amounts so apportioned or allocated that remain unobligated at the end of that period shall lapse.*

FY 22 apportionments are available for obligation until September 30, 2025

<https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legregs/directives/notices/n4510858/>



# Carbon Reduction Program – Eligible Activities



Activities or projects that are eligible for CRP funds include those that



**Reduce environmental and community impacts of freight movement,**  
(e.g., truck parking, including ITS)



Support congestion pricing and **traffic and congestion management technologies**




**Shift transportation demand**



Enhance **Vehicle to Infrastructure** connecting technology



**Reduce demand for roads**  
(e.g., non-SOV strategies)



Development of a **Carbon Reduction Strategy**

It is encouraged to allocate funds on projects currently in the UTP or STIP an swap the funding category



Activities or projects that are eligible for CRP funds include those that



**Alternative fuel vehicle deployment**  
(e.g., EVs)



**Reduce emissions at Port facilities**  
(e.g., supporting docked ships)



**Transportation alternative** (e.g., bicycle and pedestrian facilities)



Diesel engine retrofit projects



**CMAQ type *except for added capacity***



Public transportation

It is encouraged to allocate funds on projects currently in the UTP or STIP and swap the funding category



## Approach for managing UTP – Category 10: Carbon Reduction [non-MPO/non-TMA]



Reduce environmental and community impacts of freight movement (truck parking)

1

Identify truck parking projects in the current UTP in coordination with TxDOT Divisions and Districts

2

Draft complete list of eligible projects and include descriptions to prove compliance

3

Coordinate with MPOs on projects selected by TxDOT to use CRP funds

4

Coordinate with FHWA on TxDOT's intent to use State CRP funds

5

Work with Finance to assign funds on eligible projects and use Cat 10 Carbon funds

 Applies only to CRP funds managed by TxDOT-TPP 

# UTP Category 10: Carbon Reduction (80% - 20%)



Category 10: Carbon Reduction											
District / MPO / Division	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	TOTAL
ABL - Abilene MPO	\$ 1,345,541	\$ 466,574	\$ 475,906	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 5,491,250
AMA - Amarillo MPO	\$ 2,396,306	\$ 830,932	\$ 847,552	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 9,779,492
ATL - Texarkana MPO	\$ 634,744	\$ 220,101	\$ 224,503	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 2,590,437
AUS - CAMPO MPO	\$ 17,245,527	\$ 5,979,980	\$ 6,099,587	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 70,380,213
BMT - SETRPC MPO	\$ 3,668,731	\$ 1,272,152	\$ 1,297,597	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 14,972,351
BRY - Bryan-College Station MPO	\$ 2,087,936	\$ 724,003	\$ 738,484	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 8,521,016
CRP - Corpus Christi MPO	\$ 3,900,223	\$ 1,352,423	\$ 1,379,474	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 15,917,085
DAL/FTW/PAR - NCTCOG MPO	\$ 68,947,099	\$ 23,907,780	\$ 24,385,966	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 281,377,987
ELP - El Paso MPO	\$ 9,411,814	\$ 3,263,598	\$ 3,328,874	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 38,410,280
HOU/BMT - HGAC MPO	\$ 65,381,443	\$ 22,671,369	\$ 23,124,826	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 266,826,291
LBB - Lubbock MPO	\$ 2,892,316	\$ 1,002,926	\$ 1,022,986	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 11,803,744
LRD - Laredo Webb County Area MPO	\$ 2,872,502	\$ 996,056	\$ 1,015,978	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 11,722,883
ODA - Permian Basin MPO	\$ 2,975,862	\$ 1,031,896	\$ 1,052,535	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 12,144,701
PAR - Sherman-Denison MPO	\$ 754,288	\$ 261,553	\$ 266,785	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 3,078,301
PHR - Rio Grande Valley MPO	\$ 13,185,672	\$ 4,572,203	\$ 4,663,653	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 53,811,658
SAT - AAMPO	\$ 21,424,782	\$ 7,429,159	\$ 7,577,752	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 87,436,651
SJT - San Angelo MPO	\$ 1,133,061	\$ 392,895	\$ 400,753	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 4,624,102
TYL - Longview MPO	\$ 1,204,958	\$ 417,826	\$ 426,183	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 4,917,519
TYL - Tyler MPO	\$ 1,587,133	\$ 550,347	\$ 561,355	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 6,477,203
WAC - Killeen-Temple MPO	\$ 3,753,395	\$ 1,301,510	\$ 1,327,542	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 15,317,869
WAC - Waco MPO	\$ 2,100,523	\$ 728,368	\$ 742,936	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 8,572,383
WFS - Wichita Falls MPO	\$ 1,211,698	\$ 420,163	\$ 428,567	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 4,945,024
YKM - Victoria MPO	\$ 776,014	\$ 269,087	\$ 274,469	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 3,166,969
Carbon Reduction Program - Non-TMA5/MPOs	\$ 75,521,306	\$ 26,187,422	\$ 26,711,204	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 308,207,791
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 306,412,874</b>	<b>\$ 106,250,323</b>	<b>\$ 108,375,464</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 1,250,492,601</b>

**Notes:**

- Carbon Reduction funding is allocated to urbanized area populations over 200,000 (known as Transportation Management Areas), area populations 50,000 to 200,000 (known as Metropolitan Planning Organizations), and small area populations under 50,000. Some eligible projects include traffic management, congestion reduction technology, truck parking, energy efficient streetlights, traffic controls and options to reduce congestion through the use of alternatives to single-occupant vehicle trips, including public transportation, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and shared/pooled vehicle trips.

- These amounts do not include carryover balances or other adjustments made through cashflow, lettings, and program accelerations. To view the program adjustments, please go to <https://www.txdot.gov/business/road-bridge-maintenance/contract-letting/project-letting-dashboards.html> and find the reports under Category Analysis Dashboard.





**FHWA  
Fact  
Sheet**

[https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/crp\\_fact\\_sheet.cfm](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/crp_fact_sheet.cfm)

**FACT SHEETS**

**Carbon Reduction Program (CRP)**

Fiscal year (FY)	FAST Act (extension)			Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL)		
	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Contract authority	—	\$1,234 B*	\$1,258 B*	\$1,263 B*	\$1,350 B*	\$1,335 B*

\*Calculated (sum of estimated individual State Carbon Reduction Program apportionments)

Note: Except as indicated, all references in this document are to the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), enacted as the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Pub. L. 117-58 (Nov. 15, 2021).

**Program Purpose**

The BIL establishes the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP), which provides funds for projects designed to reduce transportation emissions, defined as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from on-road highway sources.

**Statutory Citations**

- § 11403.23 U.S.C. 175

**Funding Features**

**Type of Budget Authority**

- Contract authority from the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund, subject to the overall Federal-aid obligation limitation.

**Apportionment of Funds**

- As under the FAST Act, the BIL directs FHWA to apportion funding as a lump sum for each State then divide that total among apportioned programs.
- Each State's CRP apportionment is calculated based on a percentage specified in law. (23 U.S.C. 10405(f)(7)) (See "Apportionment" fact sheet for a description of the calculation.)

**Transferability to Other Federal-aid Apportioned Programs**

- A State may transfer up to 50% of CRP funds made available each fiscal year to any other apportionment of the State, including the National Highway Performance Program, Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program, National Highway Freight Program, and (NEW) Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-saving Transportation (PROTECT) Formula Program. Conversely, subject to certain limitations, a State may transfer up to 50% of funds made available each fiscal year from each other apportionment of the State to CRP. (23 U.S.C. 120(a)) (See other program-specific fact sheets for additional details.)

**Suballocation**

- 55% of a State's CRP apportionment is to be obligated in the following areas in proportion to their relative shares of the State's population. (§ 11403.23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)(A)) Funds attributed to an urbanized area may be obligated in the metropolitan area established under 23 U.S.C. 134 that encompassed the urbanized area (23 U.S.C. 175(a)(2)).
  - Urbanized areas with an urbanized area population greater than 200,000. This portion is to be divided among those areas based on their relative share of population, unless the Secretary approves a joint request from the State and relevant MPO(s) to use other factors. (§ 11403.23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)(A)(i) and (A)(2))
  - Urbanized areas with an urbanized area population of at least 50,000 but no more than 200,000. This portion is to be divided among those areas based on their relative share of population, unless the Secretary approves a joint request from the State and relevant MPO(s) to use other factors. (§ 11403.23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)(A)(i) and (A)(2))
  - Urban areas with population at least 5,000 and no more than 49,999. (§ 11403.23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)(A)(iii))
  - Areas with population of less than 5,000. (§ 11403.23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)(A)(iv))
- The remaining 35% of the State's CRP apportionment be obligated in any area of the State. (§ 11403.23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)(B))

**FHWA  
Guidance**

[https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sustainability/energy/policy/crp\\_guidance.pdf](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/sustainability/energy/policy/crp_guidance.pdf)

**Carbon Reduction Program Implementation Guidance**  
(April 21, 2022)

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- A. [DEFINITIONS](#)**
- B. [PROGRAM PURPOSE](#)**
- C. [GUIDANCE ON ADMINISTRATION PRIORITIES AND USE OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FORMULA FUNDING](#)**
- D. [GOVERNING AUTHORITIES](#)**
- E. [FUNDING](#)**
- F. [CARBON REDUCTION STRATEGIES](#)**
- G. [ELIGIBILITIES AND COORDINATION REQUIREMENTS](#)**
- H. [DAVIS-BACON ACT REQUIREMENTS](#)**



## Comments or Questions



**CASEY WELLS** Systems Planning Section Director [Casey.Wells@txdot.gov](mailto:Casey.Wells@txdot.gov)

**ADRIANA TORCAT** Statewide Planning Branch Manager [Adriana.Torcat@txdot.gov](mailto:Adriana.Torcat@txdot.gov)

**WILL ETHEREDGE** UTP Project Manager [Will.Etheredge@txdot.gov](mailto:Will.Etheredge@txdot.gov)

August 3, 2023

## Carbon Reduction Program (CRP)

On November 15, 2021, the President signed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) (Public Law 117-58, also known as the “Bipartisan Infrastructure Law”) (BIL) into law. The BIL authorizes a new Carbon Reduction Program codified as 23 United States Code (U.S.C.) 175 to reduce transportation emissions. The linked [Carbon Reduction Program \(CRP\) Implementation Guidance](#) provides information on funding, eligible activities, and requirements of the CRP.

The purpose of the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) is to reduce transportation emissions through the development of State carbon reduction strategies and by funding projects designed to reduce transportation emissions (See 23 U.S.C. 175 as established by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) (Public Law 117-58, also known as the “Bipartisan Infrastructure Law” (BIL)) (BIL § 11403).

Texas will receive over \$640 million for Fiscal Years 2022-2026 in Federal Apportionment. A total of 65% will be distributed in urban areas based on population and 35% of the total apportionment is available for any other location statewide. **Figure 1** includes the total CRP Texas allocation by FY and the State fund match. Allocations for each Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) are included in **Appendix A**.

	FY 2022 Federal allocation (80%)	FY 2022 Texas match (20%)	FY 2023 Federal allocation (80%)	FY 2023 Texas match (20%)	FY 2024* Federal allocation (80%)	FY 2024* Texas match (20%)	FY 2025* Federal allocation (80%)	FY 2025* Texas match (20%)	FY 2026* Federal allocation (80%)	FY 2026* Texas match (20%)
Allocation based on each area's proportion of the State population (65%)	\$80M	\$16M	\$82M	\$16.3M	\$83M	\$16.6M	\$85M	\$17M	\$86.5M	\$17.3M
Allocation anywhere in the State (35%)	\$43M	\$8.6M	\$44M	\$8.8M	\$45M	\$9M	\$46M	\$9M	\$46.5M	\$9.3M
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$123M</b>	<b>\$24.6M</b>	<b>\$126M</b>	<b>\$25M</b>	<b>\$128M</b>	<b>\$25.6M</b>	<b>\$131M</b>	<b>\$26M</b>	<b>\$133M</b>	<b>\$26.6M</b>
	<b>FY2022</b>		<b>FY2023</b>		<b>FY2024*</b>		<b>FY2025*</b>		<b>FY2026*</b>	
<b>FY Total</b>	<b>\$147.6M</b>		<b>\$151M</b>		<b>\$153.6</b>		<b>\$157M</b>		<b>\$157.6M</b>	

\*FY 2024-2026 allocations are estimated.

States can transfer up to 50% of CRP funding available each year to other federally funded programs.

Figure 1: Carbon Reduction Program Texas allocations

CRP funds are available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized (See 23 U.S.C. 118(b)). Thus, CRP funds are available for obligation for up to 4 years. Any amounts so apportioned or allocated that remain unobligated at the end of that period shall lapse. For example, the funds resulting from the apportionment for FY 2022 are available for obligation until September 30, 2025. Any amounts not obligated by the State on or before September 30, 2025, shall lapse.

The Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) [Carbon Reduction Program Fact Sheet](#) and [Implementation Guidance](#) provides up to date information about the program.

## Frequently Asked Questions

### CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM (CRP) AND CARBON REDUCTION STRATEGY (CRS)

1. What is the difference between the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) and Carbon Reduction Strategy (CRS)?

The CRP, as established in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), provides funding for projects designed to reduce carbon emissions. A CRS is a statewide document to support efforts that reduce transportation emissions and to identify projects and strategies to reduce these emissions. The CRS is a requirement of the CRP, which is a federal highway funding program that provides formula dollars to reduce transportation emissions (specifically carbon dioxide emissions) through the development of State carbon reduction strategies and funding projects designed to reduce transportation emissions. All state CRS documents must support efforts to reduce transportation emissions and identify projects and strategies to reduce carbon dioxide emissions.

2. Are MPOs required to develop a Carbon Reduction Strategy?

No. Only States are required to develop a CRS in consultation with any MPO designated within the State (23 U.S.C. 175(d)(1)). Coordination meetings will take place with all MPOs as part of the CRS development process. Additional coordination will occur with MPOs that decide to develop their own carbon reduction strategy.

3. Do TxDOT Districts, MPOs, or the Transportation Planning and Programming (TPP) Division have to wait until the TxDOT CRS is approved before programming CRP funds?

No. CRP funds for FYs 2022, 2023, and 2024 are available now through Category 10 Carbon Reduction in the Unified Transportation Program (UTP). Further, the CRS is not binding, so the funds are available now and will be regardless of whether CRS objectives are met.

4. What is the Texas Department of Transportation's (TxDOT) approach to developing the CRS?

TxDOT recently completed interviews with peer state DOTs to identify best practices that will inform the CRS development. Currently, TxDOT is identifying and categorizing potential carbon reduction strategies and projects associated with the Texas transportation sector for further evaluation and prioritization. Next, TxDOT will develop a framework for evaluating and prioritizing the identified carbon reduction strategies and projects. The framework will be used to develop a prioritized list of carbon reduction strategies and projects for inclusion in the CRS.

5. What are the next steps for TxDOT in developing the CRS?

The CRS is due to Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) by November 15, 2023. To meet this deadline, TxDOT is engaging with MPOs, TxDOT Divisions, and TxDOT Districts to identify potential carbon reduction strategies and projects, as well as criteria and considerations for evaluating and prioritizing the strategies and projects for inclusion in the CRS. This engagement will occur in summer 2023 (May through July). For more information regarding this engagement, please contact Adriana Torcat, Statewide Planning Branch Manager at [Adriana.Torcat@txdot.gov](mailto:Adriana.Torcat@txdot.gov).

## 6. What will happen to the CRS after it is submitted to FHWA?

FHWA has 90 days to either approve or deny certification of the CRS. Once approved by FHWA, TxDOT's CRS will be integrated into the Statewide Long-Range Transportation Plan (SLRTP) and MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs) or incorporated by reference into those plans. The CRS must then be updated at least once every four years (23 U.S.C. 175(d)(3) and (4)).

## ALLOCATION OF FUNDS

## 7. What portion of the allocation to TxDOT Districts or MPOs is designated for Rural Areas?

Specifics can be found on the UTP allocation tables sent out by the TPP Division Director, Humberto "Tito" Gonzalez, on March 3, 2023. FHWA set up the program as follows ([Source](#)):

- Sixty-five percent (65%) of a State's CRP apportionment is to be obligated in the following areas in proportion to their relative shares of the State's population [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)]:
  - *Urbanized areas with an urbanized area population greater than 200,000*: This portion is to be divided among those areas based on their relative share of population unless the Secretary approves a joint request from the State and relevant MPO(s) to use other factors [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(i) and (e)(3)].
  - *Urbanized areas with an urbanized area population of at least 50,000 but no more than 200,000*: This portion is to be divided among those areas based on their relative share of population unless the Secretary approves a joint request from the State and relevant MPO(s) to use other factors [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(ii) and (e)(3)].
  - *Urban areas with population at least 5,000 and no more than 49,999* [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(iii)].
  - *Areas with population of less than 5,000* [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(iv)].
- The remaining 35% of the State's CRP apportionment is to be obligated in any area of the State. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(B)]

## 8. Is a local match required? Will TxDOT be covering the local match?

Local participation may be required depending on the type of project and type of work. Certain categories of funding in the UTP are directly tied to federal apportionments. These include Categories 5, 7, 9 and 10 Carbon. When funds are allocated via the UTP, the allocation listed in the UTP is inclusive of the federal portion that is typically 80% and a non-federal portion that is typically 20%. This non-federal portion could be either state or local.

The use of Category 10 Carbon funds should be similar to other categories of funds provided via the UTP. Federal, state, and/or local participation is dictated by the project type, type of work, and type of federal funds. See the "Participation Chart" from TxDOT's Project Development Manual [<http://onlinemanuals.txdot.gov/txdotmanuals/pdp/index.htm>]. It is important to note that all participation ratios shown on the chart depict the minimum local participation for eligible costs. The federal percentage and state percentage shown on the chart depict a maximum.

To understand the chart, (i) identify the project type in question under the “Condition” column, then (ii) identify the type of work (preliminary engineering, construction engineering/construction funds; or right of way/utilities).

9. Does the MPO’s local scoring or ranking methodology need to be different from the Category 7 STP-MM criteria, and tailored for CRP purposes and eligible activities?

No new or separate methodology has been required or suggested for the Carbon Reduction Program.

10. Can CRP funds be programmed to existing projects (either funded by Category 7 or Category 9) that are in project development?

If a project currently funded through another UTP category is eligible for CRP funds, you can work with the program manager to remove the original category and program Category 10 CR. For example, for a project currently funded with Category 9 funds, you would work with the corresponding MPO or TxDOT's Public Transportation Division (PTN) to remove Category 9 and use Category 10 CR. Similarly, for Category 7, the MPO could elect to remove Category 7 and program Category 10 CR.

11. Can CRP funds be grouped or applied to projects that are already grouped in the STIP?

Per FHWA, it is preferred that CRP funded projects be individually listed. A project that is currently grouped in the STIP will still be considered for funding under CRP subject to requests to be ungrouped.

12. Can Transportation Development Credits (TDCs) be used in lieu of local match on the Carbon Reduction Funds?

Yes. MPO TDCs can be used in lieu of required non-federal match.

13. Are the allocations included in the 2024 draft UTP the most current calculations?

Yes, the latest draft was sent out by the TPP Division Director, Humberto “Tito” Gonzalez, on March 3, 2023 and is included in **Appendix A**. Please contact [Adriana.Torcat@txdot.gov](mailto:Adriana.Torcat@txdot.gov) if you need a copy of the draft distributed on the date indicated above.

14. Can MPOs spend ahead on their CAT 10CR allocation? For example, would an MPO be able to spend FY 24 and FY 25 in FY 24?

TxDOT’s Finance Division will consider a request to accelerate funding on a case-by-case basis. Note that FY 2024 includes 3 years of allocations (FY 2022, FY 2023, and FY 2024).

15. If the funds are used on a project that is later de-commissioned (e.g., truck parking lot), do funds need to be “paid back” to FHWA?

This would be evaluated by FHWA on a case-by-case basis.

16. Would TxDOT Districts be responsible for letting the MPO selected projects? Or will project sponsors be responsible for letting?

MPOs and TxDOT Districts should determine project management responsibilities.

17. Will direct state costs (DSC) be covered by CRP funds?

Yes, CRP funds can cover direct state costs.

**PROJECT ELIGIBILITY**

18. What type of projects would be eligible for CRP funds?

Eligible CRP project types may include but are not limited to: traffic monitoring, advanced truck stop electrification, surface truck parking, capital projects for the construction of a bus rapid transit corridor or dedicated bus lanes, on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation, replacement of street lighting and traffic control devices with energy-efficient alternatives. Detailed information associated with a given project will be needed to determine eligibility.

19. Can MPOs use a call for proposals to select and award CRP funds to projects that are not in the UTP and STIP?

Yes, but TxDOT encourages MPOs to use CRP funds on currently eligible projects. CRP funds are available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized. Thus, CRP funds are available for obligation for up to 4 years. Any amounts apportioned or allocated that remain unobligated at the end of that period will lapse. ([Source](#))

20. Are off-system projects eligible?

Yes. In addition, non-highway projects are eligible ([Source](#)). Every project funded under the CRP is treated as if it were located on a [Federal-aid highway](#). This ensures applicability of Davis-Bacon wage requirements ([Source](#)).

21. Are added-capacity projects eligible?

No. CRP funds cannot be used exclusively for added-capacity projects. However, CRP funds can be used on eligible components of added capacity projects ([Source](#)).

22. Can CRP funds be used on planning activities (e.g., plans, specifications, and estimate (PS&E), environmental clearance, feasibility studies, right of way (ROW) acquisition, utility relocation)?

General planning activities (except development of the CRS) such as corridor or feasibility studies would not be considered eligible. Activities that support a construction project could be considered eligible. Detailed information for a given project and its associated planning activities will be required to determine eligibility.

23. If there are eligible activities on current projects, does a new CSJ need to be created, or can CRP funds be applied to existing CSJs?

Once [eligibility](#) has been confirmed, and in [coordination with the MPO/RPO as applicable](#), you may switch funding categories for the existing CSJ. A new CSJ is not needed. The project must be individually listed in the STIP.

24. Can CRP funds be used for Transportation Alternative Projects?

Yes ([Source](#)), including, but not limited to, the construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized forms of transportation.





125 EAST 11TH STREET, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2483 | 512.463.8588 | WWW.TXDOT.GOV

Appendix A

Category 10: Carbon Reduction											
District/MPO/Division	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	TOTAL
ABL - Abilene MPO	\$ 1,345,541	\$ 466,574	\$ 475,906	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 457,604	\$ 5,491,250
AMA - Amarillo MPO	\$ 2,396,306	\$ 830,932	\$ 847,552	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 814,958	\$ 9,779,492
ATL - Texarkana MPO	\$ 634,744	\$ 220,101	\$ 224,503	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 215,870	\$ 2,590,437
AUS - CAMPO MPO	\$ 17,245,527	\$ 5,979,980	\$ 6,099,587	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 5,865,017	\$ 70,380,213
BMT - SETRPC MPO	\$ 3,668,731	\$ 1,272,152	\$ 1,297,597	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 1,247,696	\$ 14,972,351
BRY - Bryan-College Station MPO	\$ 2,087,936	\$ 724,003	\$ 738,484	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 710,085	\$ 8,521,016
CRP - corpus Christi MPO	\$ 3,900,223	\$ 1,352,423	\$ 1,379,474	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 1,326,424	\$ 15,917,085
DAL/FTW/PAR - NCTCOG MPO	\$ 68,947,099	\$ 23,907,780	\$ 24,385,966	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 23,448,163	\$ 281,377,987
ELP - El Paso MPO	\$ 9,411,814	\$ 3,263,598	\$ 3,328,874	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 3,200,856	\$ 38,410,280
HOU/BMT - HGAC MPO	\$ 65,381,443	\$ 22,671,369	\$ 23,124,826	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 22,235,522	\$ 266,826,291
LBB - Lubbock MPO	\$ 2,892,316	\$ 1,002,926	\$ 1,022,986	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 983,645	\$ 11,803,744
LRD - Laredo Webb county Area MPO	\$ 2,872,502	\$ 996,056	\$ 1,015,978	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 976,907	\$ 11,722,883
ODA - Permian Basin MPO	\$ 2,975,862	\$ 1,031,896	\$ 1,052,535	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 1,012,058	\$ 12,144,701
PAR - Grayson County MPO	\$ 754,288	\$ 261,553	\$ 266,785	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 256,525	\$ 3,078,301
PHR - Rio Grande Valley MPO	\$ 13,185,672	\$ 4,572,203	\$ 4,663,653	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 4,484,304	\$ 53,811,658
SAT - AAMPO	\$ 21,424,782	\$ 7,429,159	\$ 7,577,752	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 7,286,337	\$ 87,436,051
SJT - San Angelo MPO	\$ 1,133,061	\$ 392,895	\$ 400,753	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 385,342	\$ 4,624,102
TYL - Longview MPO	\$ 1,204,958	\$ 417,826	\$ 426,183	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 409,793	\$ 4,917,519
TYL - Tyler MPO	\$ 1,587,133	\$ 550,347	\$ 561,355	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 539,767	\$ 6,477,203
WAC - Killeen-Temple MPO	\$ 3,753,395	\$ 1,301,510	\$ 1,327,542	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 1,276,489	\$ 15,317,869
WAC - Waco MPO	\$ 2,100,523	\$ 728,368	\$ 742,936	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 714,365	\$ 8,572,383
WFS - Wichita Falls MPO	\$ 1,211,698	\$ 420,163	\$ 428,567	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 412,085	\$ 4,945,024
YKM - Victoria MPO	\$ 776,014	\$ 269,087	\$ 274,469	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 263,914	\$ 3,166,969
Carbon Reduction Program - Non-TMAs/MPOs	\$ 75,521,306	\$ 26,187,422	\$ 26,711,204	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 25,683,980	\$ 308,207,791
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 306,412,874</b>	<b>\$ 106,250,323</b>	<b>\$ 108,375,464</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 104,207,706</b>	<b>\$ 1,250,492,601</b>

Notes:  
 - Carbon Reduction funding is allocated to urbanized area populations over 200,000 (known as Transportation Management Areas), area populations 50,000 to 200,000 (known as Metropolitan Planning Organizations), and small area populations under 50,000. Some eligible projects include traffic management, congestion reduction technology, truck parking, energy efficient streetlights, traffic controls and options to reduce congestion through the use of alternatives to single-occupant vehicle trips, including public transportation, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and shared/pooled vehicle trips.  
 - These amounts do not include carryover balances or other adjustments made through cashflow, lettings, and program accelerations. To view the program adjustments, please go to <https://www.txdot.gov/business/road-bridge-maintenance/contract-letting/project-letting-boards.html> and find the reports under Category Analysis Dashboard.

OUR VALUES: People • Accountability • Trust • Honesty  
 OUR MISSION: Connecting You With Texas

An Equal Opportunity Employer

# BIPARTISAN INFRASTRUCTURE LAW



Home

Overview

Funding

Assistance / Local Support

Fact Sheets

Guidance

## FACT SHEETS

### Carbon Reduction Program (CRP)

	FAST Act (extension)	Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL)				
Fiscal year (FY)	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Contract authority	---	\$1.234 B*	\$1.258 B*	\$1.283 B*	\$1.309 B*	\$1.335 B*

\*Calculated (sum of estimated individual State Carbon Reduction Program apportionments)

Note: Except as indicated, all references in this document are to the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), enacted as the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Pub. L. 117-58 (Nov. 15, 2021).

#### Program Purpose

The BIL establishes the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP), which provides funds for projects designed to reduce transportation emissions, defined as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from on-road highway sources.

#### Statutory Citations

- § 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175

#### Funding Features

##### Type of Budget Authority

- Contract authority from the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund, subject to the overall Federal-aid obligation limitation.

##### Apportionment of Funds

- As under the FAST Act, the BIL directs FHWA to apportion funding as a lump sum for each State then divide that total among apportioned programs.
- Each State's CRP apportionment is calculated based on a percentage specified in law. [23 U.S.C. 104(b)(7)] (See "Apportionment" fact sheet for a description of this calculation)

##### Transferability to Other Federal-aid Apportioned Programs

- A State may transfer up to 50% of CRP funds made available each fiscal year to any other apportionment of the State, including the National Highway Performance Program, Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program, National Highway Freight Program, and [NEW] Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-saving Transportation (PROTECT) Formula Program. Conversely, subject to certain limitations, a State may transfer up to 50% of funds made available each fiscal year from each other apportionment of the State to CRP. [23 U.S.C. 126(a)] (See other program-specific fact sheets for additional details.)

##### Suballocation

- 65% of a State's CRP apportionment is to be obligated in the following areas in proportion to their relative shares of the State's population. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)] Funds attributed to an urbanized area may be obligated in the metropolitan area established under 23 U.S.C. 134 that encompassed the urbanized area [23 U.S.C. 175(e)(2)]:
  - *Urbanized areas with an urbanized area population greater than 200,000*: This portion is to be divided among those areas based on their relative share of population, unless the Secretary approves a joint request from the State and relevant MPO(s) to use other factors. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(i) and (e)(3)]
  - *Urbanized areas with an urbanized area population of at least 50,000 but no more than 200,000*: This portion is to be divided among those areas based on their relative share of population, unless the Secretary approves a joint request from the State and relevant MPO(s) to use other factors. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(ii) and (e)(3)]
  - *Urban areas with population at least 5,000 and no more than 49,999*. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(iii)]
  - *Areas with population of less than 5,000*. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(A)(iv)]
- The remaining 35% of the State's CRP apportionment be obligated in any area of the State. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(1)(B)]
- Requires each State, over the period of FY22-26, to make available to each urbanized area with a population of at least 50,000 obligation authority for use with the suballocated CRP funding. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(6)] States are required to divide the funding to urbanized areas with a population of at least 50,000 based on the relative population of the areas. [23 U.S.C. 175(e)(3)]

## Federal Share

- In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 120. (See the "Federal Share" fact sheet for additional detail.) [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 120 and 175(f)]

## Eligible Projects

- CRP funds may be obligated for projects that support the reduction of transportation emissions, including, but not limited to– [except as noted, § 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(c)(1)]
  - a project described in 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(4) to establish or operate a traffic monitoring, management, and control facility or program, including advanced truck stop electrification systems;
  - a public transportation project eligible under 23 U.S.C. 142;
  - a transportation alternative (as defined under the Moving Ahead for Progress under the 21st Century Act [23 U.S.C. 101(a)(29), as in effect on July 5, 2012]), including, but not limited to, the construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation;
  - a project described in 23 U.S.C. 503(c)(4)(E) for advanced transportation and congestion management technologies;
  - deployment of infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements and the installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communications equipment;
  - a project to replace street lighting and traffic control devices with energy-efficient alternatives;
  - development of a carbon reduction strategy developed by a State per requirements in 23 U.S.C. 175(d);
  - a project or strategy designed to support congestion pricing, shifting transportation demand to nonpeak hours or other transportation modes, increasing vehicle occupancy rates, or otherwise reducing demand for roads, including electronic toll collection, and travel demand management strategies and programs;
  - efforts to reduce the environmental and community impacts of freight movement;
  - a project that supports deployment of alternative fuel vehicles, including–
    - acquisition, installation, or operation of publicly accessible electric vehicle charging infrastructure or hydrogen, natural gas, or propane vehicle fueling infrastructure; and
    - purchase or lease of zero-emission construction equipment and vehicles, including the acquisition, construction, or leasing of required supporting facilities;
  - a project described in 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(8) for a diesel engine retrofit;
  - certain types of projects to improve traffic flow that are eligible under the CMAQ program, and that do not involve construction of new capacity; [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(5); and 175(c)(1)(L)]
  - a project that reduces transportation emissions at port facilities, including through the advancement of port electrification; and
  - any other STBG-eligible project, if the Secretary certifies that the State has demonstrated a reduction in transportation emissions, as estimated on a per capita and per unit of economic output basis. (Note: FHWA will issue guidance on how the Secretary will make such certifications.) [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 133(b) and 175(c)(2)]

## Coordination in Urbanized Areas Other Than Transportation Management Areas

Before obligating CRP funds for an eligible project in an urbanized area that is not a transportation management area, a State shall coordinate with any MPO that represents the urbanized area prior to determining which activities should be carried out under the project. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(4)]

## Consultation in Rural Areas

Before obligating CRP funds for an eligible project in a rural area, a State shall consult with any regional transportation planning organization or MPO that represents the rural area prior to determining which activities should be carried out under the project. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(5)]

## Program Features

## Carbon Reduction Strategy

- Requires each State, in consultation with any MPO designated within the State, to– [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(d)]
  - develop a carbon reduction strategy not later than 2 years after enactment; [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(1)] and
  - update that strategy at least every four years; [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(3)]
- Requires the carbon reduction strategy to–
  - support efforts—and identify projects and strategies—to support the reduction of transportation emissions;
  - at the State's discretion, quantify the total carbon emissions from production, transport, and use of materials used in the construction of transportation facilities in the State; and
  - be appropriate to the population density and context of the State, including any MPO designated within the State. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(2)]
- Allows the carbon reduction strategy to include projects and strategies for safe, reliable, and cost-effective options to–
  - reduce traffic congestion by facilitating the use of alternatives to single-occupant vehicle trips, including public transportation facilities, pedestrian facilities, bicycle facilities, and shared or pooled vehicle trips within the State or an area served by the relevant MPO;
  - facilitate use of vehicles or modes of travel that result in lower transportation emissions per person-mile traveled as compared to existing vehicles and modes; and
  - facilitate approaches to the construction of transportation assets that result in lower transportation emissions as compared to existing approaches. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(2)(B)]
- Requires FHWA to–
  - review the State's process for developing its carbon reduction strategy and certify that the strategy meets statutory requirements; and
  - at the request of a State, provide technical assistance in the development of the strategy. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(4) and (5)]

## Treatment of Projects

- Treats every project funded under the program as if it were located on a Federal-aid highway. This ensures applicability of Davis-Bacon wage requirements. [§ 11403; 23 U.S.C. 175(g)]

## Additional Information and Assistance

- FHWA can connect you with your local FHWA office and support you with technical assistance for planning, design, construction, preserving, and improving public roads and in the stewardship of Federal funds. For assistance, visit: [https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/technical\\_support.cfm](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/technical_support.cfm)



U.S. Department  
of Transportation  
**Federal Highway  
Administration**

# Memorandum

Subject: **INFORMATION**: Carbon Reduction Program  
(CRP) Implementation Guidance

Date: April 21, 2022

From: Gloria M. Shepherd *Gloria M. Shepherd*  
Associate Administrator, Office of Planning,  
Environment, and Realty

In Reply Refer To:  
HEP-1

To: Division Administrators  
Directors of Field Services

On November 15, 2021, the President signed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) (Public Law 117-58, also known as the “Bipartisan Infrastructure Law”) (BIL) into law. The BIL authorizes a new Carbon Reduction Program codified at 23 United States Code (U.S.C.) 175 to reduce transportation emissions. The attached Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) Implementation Guidance provides information on funding, eligible activities, and requirements of the CRP.

Except for the statutes and regulations cited, the contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the States or the public in any way. This document is intended only to provide information regarding existing requirements under the law or agency policies.

This document will be accessible on the Sustainability Website ([FHWA Sustainability Website](#)), the BIL Website ([FHWA Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Website](#)), and through the Policy and Guidance Center ([FHWA Policy and Guidance Center](#)).

If you have questions, please contact: Becky Lupes (202-366-7808 or [Rebecca.Lupes@dot.gov](mailto:Rebecca.Lupes@dot.gov)) or John Davies (202-366-6039 or [JohnG.Davies@dot.gov](mailto:JohnG.Davies@dot.gov)) of the Office of Natural Environment.

Attachment

**Carbon Reduction Program Implementation Guidance**  
(April 21, 2022)

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- A. **DEFINITIONS**
- B. **PROGRAM PURPOSE**
- C. **GUIDANCE ON ADMINISTRATION PRIORITIES AND USE OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FORMULA FUNDING**
- D. **GOVERNING AUTHORITIES**
- E. **FUNDING**
- F. **CARBON REDUCTION STRATEGIES**
- G. **ELIGIBILITIES AND COORDINATION REQUIREMENTS**
- H. **DAVIS-BACON ACT REQUIREMENTS**

## A. Definitions

In this guidance, the following definitions apply:

*Consultation* means that one or more parties confer with other identified parties in accordance with an established process and, prior to taking action(s), considers the views of the other parties and periodically informs them about action(s) taken (*See* 23 CFR 450.104).

*Coordination* means the cooperative development of plans, programs, and schedules among agencies and entities with legal standing and adjustment of such plans, programs, and schedules to achieve general consistency, as appropriate (23 CFR 450.104).

*Metropolitan Planning Organization* means the policy board of an organization established as a result of the designation process under 23 U.S.C. 134(d) (23 U.S.C. 134(b)(2); 23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)).

*Transportation Emissions* means carbon dioxide emissions from on-road highway sources of those emissions within a State (23 U.S.C. 175(a)(2)).

*Transportation Management Area* means a transportation management area identified or designated by the Secretary under 23 U.S.C. 134(k)(1) (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175(a)(3)).

*Urbanized Area* means a geographic area with a population of 50,000 or more, as determined by the Bureau of the Census (23 U.S.C. 134(b)(7); 23 U.S.C. 175(a)(1)).

## B. PROGRAM PURPOSE

The purpose of the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) is to reduce transportation emissions through the development of State carbon reduction strategies and by funding projects designed to reduce transportation emissions (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175 as established by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) (Public Law 117-58, also known as the “[Bipartisan Infrastructure Law](#)” (BIL)) (BIL § 11403).

## C. GUIDANCE ON ADMINISTRATION PRIORITIES AND USE OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FORMULA FUNDING

- 1. Overview:** This document provides background and guidance to clarify eligibility requirements for the CRP. On December 16, 2021, FHWA issued guidance, [Policy on Using Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Resources to Build a Better America](#), that serves as an overarching framework to prioritize the use of BIL resources on projects that will Build a Better America. That policy is available on FHWA’s BIL resources implementation website at the following URL: [https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/building\\_a\\_better\\_america-policy\\_framework.cfm](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bipartisan-infrastructure-law/building_a_better_america-policy_framework.cfm).

## **2. Safety:**

### **Prioritizing Safety in All Investments and Projects**

The National Roadway Safety Strategy (NRSS) (issued January 27, 2022) commits the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) and FHWA to respond to the current crisis in traffic fatalities by “taking substantial, comprehensive action to significantly reduce serious and fatal injuries on the Nation’s roadways,” in pursuit of the goal of achieving zero highway deaths. FHWA recognizes that zero is the only acceptable number of deaths on our roads and achieving that is our safety goal. FHWA therefore encourages States and other funding recipients to prioritize safety in all Federal highway investments and in all appropriate projects, using relevant Federal-aid funding, including funds from CRP.

The Safe System approach addresses the safety of all road users, including those who walk, bike, drive, ride transit, and travel by other modes. It involves a paradigm shift to improve safety culture, increase collaboration across all safety stakeholders, and refocus transportation system design and operation on anticipating human mistakes and lessening impact forces to reduce crash severity and save lives. To achieve the vision of zero fatalities, safety should be fully reflected in a State’s transportation investment decisions, from planning and programming, environmental analysis, project design, and construction, to maintenance and operations. States should use data-driven safety analyses to ensure that safety is a key input in any decision made in the project development process and fully consider the safety of all road users in project development.

FHWA encourages State and local agencies to consider the use of funds from CRP to address roadway safety and implement the Safe System approach wherever possible. Improvements to safety features, including traffic signs, pavement markings, and multimodal accommodations that are routinely provided as part of a broader Federal-aid highway project can and should be funded from the same source as the broader project as long as the use is eligible under that funding source.

Because of the role of speed in fatal crashes, FHWA is also providing new resources on the setting of speed limits and on re-engineering roadways to help “self-enforce” speed limits. To achieve the vision of zero fatalities on the Nation’s roads, FHWA encourages States to assess safety outcomes for all project types and promote and improve safety for all road users, particularly vulnerable users. FHWA recommends that streets be designed and operated to maximize the existing right-of-way for accommodation of nonmotorized modes and transit options that increase safety and connectivity. Pedestrian facilities in the public right-of-way must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

### **Complete Streets**

As one approach to ensuring the safety of all roadway users, FHWA encourages States and communities to adopt and implement Complete Streets policies that prioritize the safety of all users in transportation network planning, design, construction and operations. Section 11206 of the BIL defines Complete Streets standards or policies as



those which “ensure the safe and adequate accommodation of all users of the transportation system, including pedestrians, bicyclists, public transportation users, children, older individuals, individuals with disabilities, motorists, and freight vehicles.” A complete street includes, but is not limited to, sidewalks, bike lanes (or wide paved shoulders), special bus lanes, accessible public transportation stops, safe and accommodating crossing options, median islands, pedestrian signals, curb extensions, narrower travel lanes, and roundabouts. A Complete Street is safe, and feels safe, for everyone using the street.

- 3. Transit Flex:** FHWA, working with FTA, seeks to help Federal-aid recipients plan, develop, and implement infrastructure investments that prioritize safety, mobility, and accessibility for all transportation network users, including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, micromobility users, freight and delivery services providers, and motorists. This includes the incorporation of data sharing principles and data management.

Funds from CRP can be “flexed” to FTA to fund transit projects. For title 23 funds that are flexed to FTA, section 104(f) of title 23, U.S.C., allows funds made available for transit projects or transportation planning to be transferred to FTA and administered in accordance with chapter 53 of title 49, U.S.C., except that the Federal share requirements of the original fund category continue to apply (See 23 U.S.C. 104(f)(1)).

The use of Federal-aid funding on transit and transit-related projects can provide an equitable and safe transportation network for travelers of all ages and abilities, including those from marginalized communities facing historic disinvestment. FHWA encourages recipients to consider using funding flexibility for transit or multimodal-related projects and to consider strategies that: (1) improve infrastructure for nonmotorized travel, public transportation access, and increased public transportation service in underserved communities; (2) plan for the safety of all road users, particularly those on arterials, through infrastructure improvements and advanced speed management; (3) reduce single-occupancy vehicle travel and associated air pollution in communities near high-volume corridors; (4) offer reduced public transportation fares as appropriate; (5) target demand-response service towards communities with higher concentrations of older adults and those with poor access to essential services; and (6) use equitable and sustainable practices while developing transit-oriented development.

- 4. Transferability Between FHWA Programs:** Section 126 of title 23, U.S.C., provides that a State may transfer up to 50 percent of the amount apportioned for the fiscal year for certain highway programs, including CRP, to other eligible apportioned highway programs.<sup>1</sup> See also FHWA Order 4551.1, “Fund Transfers to Other Agencies and Among Title 23 Programs”, ([Fund Transfers to Other Agencies and Among Title 23 Programs](#)). Historically States have used this flexibility to address unmet needs in areas where apportioned funding was insufficient.

The BIL made historic investments in highway programs including more than \$300 billion in Contract Authority from the Highway Trust Fund. This represents an average

---

<sup>1</sup> States may only transfer CRP funds that are allocated for use anywhere in the State.

annual increase of 29 percent in Federal-aid funding over the amount of Contract Authority for FHWA programs compared to fiscal year 2021. Congress also established more than a dozen new highway programs to help address urgent surface transportation needs.

States have the flexibility to transfer funds out of CRP to other apportioned programs, but we encourage States to first consider the need to transfer in light of the significant increase in apportioned funding and the considerable funding for new programs. States, working with FHWA, should determine the need for CRP funds – including the ability to apply CRP funds to eligible assets owned by local governments, counties, and Tribes – and identify and prioritize projects that maximize the CRP funding before deciding to transfer funds out of the CRP.

- 5. ADA:** The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 prohibit discrimination against people with disabilities and ensure equal opportunity and access for persons with disabilities. The Department of Transportation’s Section 504 regulations apply to recipients of the Department’s financial assistance (*See* 49 CFR 27.3(a)). Title II of the ADA applies to public entities regardless of whether they receive Federal financial assistance (*See* 28 CFR 35.102(a)). The ADA requires that no qualified individual with a disability shall, because a public entity’s facilities are inaccessible to or unusable by individuals with disabilities, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any public entity (*See* 28 CFR 35.149). A public entity’s pedestrian facilities are considered a “service, program, or activity” of the public entity. As a result, public entities and recipients of Federal financial assistance are required to ensure the accessibility of pedestrian facilities in the public right-of-way, such as curb ramps, sidewalks, crosswalks, pedestrian signals, and transit stops in accordance with applicable regulations.

If the project reduces transportation emissions, funds from CRP are available to improve accessibility and to implement recipients’ ADA transition plans and upgrade their facilities to eliminate physical obstacles and provide for accessibility for individuals with disabilities. FHWA will provide oversight to recipients of CRP funds to ensure that each public agency's project planning, design, and construction programs comply with ADA and Section 504 accessibility requirements.

- 6. Equity:** The BIL provides considerable resources to help States and other funding recipients advance projects that consider the unique circumstances affecting community members’ mobility needs and allocate resources consistently with those needs, enabling the transportation network to effectively serve all community members. FHWA will work with States to ensure consideration of using CRP funds for projects and inclusion of project elements that proactively address racial equity, workforce development, economic development, and remove barriers to opportunity, including automobile dependence in both rural and urban communities as a barrier to opportunity or to redress prior inequities and barriers to opportunity.

Federal-aid recipients, including recipients of CRP funds, are responsible for involving the public, including traditionally underserved and underrepresented populations in transportation planning and complying with participation and consultation requirements in 23 CFR 450.210 and 23 CFR 450.316, as applicable. “Underserved populations” include minority and low-income populations but may also include many other demographic categories that face challenges engaging with the transportation process and receiving equitable benefits (See [FHWA's Environmental Justice Reference Guide](#) for additional information). In addition, CRP projects can support the Justice40 Initiative, which establishes a goal that at least 40 percent of the benefits of federal investments in climate and clean energy infrastructure are distributed to disadvantaged communities. (See [OMB's Interim Implementation Guidance for the Justice40 Initiative](#) or its successor for additional information).

To assist with these public engagement efforts, FHWA expects recipients of CRP funds to engage with all impacted communities and community leaders to determine which forms of communication are most effective. Recipients should gain insight on the unique circumstances impacting various disadvantaged and underrepresented groups so that new channels for communication may be developed. And, the recipients should use this information to inform decisions across all aspects of project delivery including planning, project selection, and the design process.

Among other things, recipients of CRP funds are also required to assure equitable treatment of workers and trainees on highway projects through compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity requirements under 23 CFR Part 230, Subpart A, as well as ensuring nondiscrimination in all of their operations on the basis of race, color, or national origin under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Recipients of CRP funds should ensure that they have the capacity and expertise to address Federal civil rights protections that accompany grant awards.

- 7. Climate Change and Sustainability:** The United States is committed to a whole-of-government approach to reducing economy-wide net greenhouse gas pollution by 2030. The BIL provides considerable resources—including new programs and funding—to help States and other funding recipients advance this goal in the transportation sector. In addition, the BIL makes historic investments to improve the resilience of transportation infrastructure, helping States and communities prepare for hazards such as wildfires, floods, storms, and droughts exacerbated by climate change.

FHWA encourages the advancement of projects that address climate change and sustainability. To enable this, FHWA encourages recipients to consider climate change and sustainability throughout the planning and project development process, including the extent to which projects under CRP align with the President’s greenhouse gas reduction, climate resilience, and environmental justice commitments. In particular, consistent with the statute and guidance below, recipients should fund projects that reduce carbon dioxide emissions. FHWA encourages recipients to fund projects that support fiscally responsible land use and transportation efficient design, or incorporate electrification or zero emission vehicle infrastructure. In addition, FHWA encourages

recipients to consider projects under CRP that support climate change resilience, including consideration of the risks associated with wildfires, drought, extreme heat, and flooding, in line with guidance for projects in floodplains. FHWA also encourages recipients to consider projects under CRP that address environmental justice concerns.

- 8. Labor and Workforce:** Highway programs, including CRP, may provide opportunities to support the creation of good-paying jobs, including jobs with the free and fair choice to join a union, and the incorporation of strong labor standards, such as the use of project labor agreements; employer neutrality with respect to union organizing; the use of an appropriately trained workforce (in particular registered apprenticeships and other joint labor-management training programs); and the use of an appropriately credentialed workforce in project planning stages and program delivery.

Recipients should work with FHWA, to the extent possible, to identify opportunities for Federal-aid highway investments to advance high-quality job creation through the use of local or other geographic or economic hire provisions authorized under section 25019 in the BIL, and Indian employment preference for projects that are located on or near Tribal reservations authorized under 23 U.S.C. 140(d), or other workforce strategies targeted at expanding workforce training opportunities for people to get the skills they need to compete for these jobs, especially underrepresented populations: women, people of color, and groups with other systemic barriers to employment (people with disabilities, formerly incarcerated, etc.).

- 9. Truck Parking:** Truck parking shortages are a national concern affecting the efficiency of U.S. supply chains and safety for truck drivers and other roadway users. Jason's Law, which was passed in 2012, established a national priority on addressing the shortage of long-term parking for commercial motor vehicles on the National Highway System (NHS).

Many Federal-aid highway funding programs have eligibility for truck parking projects, including the CRP. CRP funds may be obligated for a project on an eligible facility that reduces transportation emissions. FHWA anticipates that such projects may support progress toward the achievement of national performance goals for improving infrastructure condition, safety, congestion reduction, system reliability, or freight movement on the NHS. Advanced truck stop electrification systems are eligible under 23 U.S.C. 175(c)(1)(A) and projects that reduce transportation emissions at port facilities are eligible under 23 U.S.C. 175(c)(1)(M).

States should consider working with private sector truck stop operators and the trucking community in the siting and development of specific truck parking projects. States also are encouraged to offer opportunities for input from commercial motor vehicle drivers and truck stop operators through their State Freight Advisory Committees established under 49 U.S.C. 70201.

## **D. GOVERNING AUTHORITIES**

- 1.** Section 11101 of the BIL authorizes contract authority for the CRP.

2. Section 11104 of the BIL updates apportionment instructions in 23 U.S.C. 104.
3. Section 11403 of the BIL establishes the CRP in 23 U.S.C. 175.

**E. FUNDING**

1. **Authorization Levels:** Estimated annual CRP funding under the BIL is:

<b>Estimated Annual CRP Funding</b>	
Fiscal Year (FY) 2022	\$1.234 B
FY 2023	\$1.258 B
FY 2024	\$1.283 B
FY 2025	\$1.309 B
FY 2026	\$1.335 B

The BIL sets each State’s initial share of Federal-aid highway program apportioned (formula) funds annually based on the share of formula funds each State received in fiscal year 2021. The methodology for calculating the apportionments for FY 2022 under 23 U.S.C. 175 is discussed in FHWA Notice [N4510.858](#). For FY 2023 through 2026 funds, please revisit [FHWA’s Notice website](#) at the appropriate future time.

The Fiscal Management Information System Program Codes for these CRP funds are as follows:

<b>Program Code</b>	<b>Program Description</b>	<b>Title 23 Reference</b>
Y600	Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) Flexible	Section 175(e)(1)(B); Section 104(b)(7)
Y601	CRP – Urbanized Areas with Population Over 200K	Section 175(e)(1)(A)(i)
Y606	CRP – Urbanized Areas with Population 50K to 200K	Section 175(e)(1)(A)(ii)
Y607	CRP – Urban Areas with Population 5K to 49,999	Section 175(e)(1)(A)(iii)
Y608	CRP – Areas with Population less than 5K	Section 175(e)(1)(A)(iv)

For urbanized areas with population over 200K and urbanized areas with population 50K to 200K, the CRP funding in FMIS will be provided at the individual urbanized area level.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> For example see [FHWA Notice N 4510.864 Fiscal Year \(FY\) 2022 Supplementary Tables – Table 18 - Apportionments Pursuant to the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act](#) and [FHWA Notice N 4510.864 Fiscal Year \(FY\) 2022 Supplementary Tables – Table 19 - Apportionments Pursuant to the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act](#).

2. **Period of Availability:** CRP funds are contract authority. CRP obligations are reimbursed from the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund. CRP funds are available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized (*See* 23 U.S.C. 118(b)). Thus, CRP funds are available for obligation for up to 4 years.
3. **Obligation Limitation:** CRP funds are subject to the annual obligation limitation imposed on the Federal-aid highway program.

In general, a State that is required under 23 U.S.C. 175(e) to obligate CRP funds in an urbanized area with an urbanized area population of 50,000 or more shall make available during the period of fiscal years 2022 through 2026 an amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for use in the area that is equal to the amount obtained by multiplying:

- a. the aggregate amount of funds that the State is required to obligate in the area under this subsection during the period; and
- b. the ratio that—
  - i. the aggregate amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs during the period; bears to
  - ii. the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to an obligation limitation) during the period. (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(6)(A))

Each State, each affected Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization (MPO), and the Secretary shall jointly ensure compliance with 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(6)(A). (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175(e)(6)(B))

4. **Federal share:** The Federal share for CRP-funded projects is governed by 23 U.S.C. 120, as amended by the BIL. It is generally 80 percent (*See* 23 U.S.C. 120(b)).
5. **Combining CRP Funds with Other Eligible USDOT funding:** CRP funds can be spread further by combining them with other eligible USDOT funding for projects that support the reduction of transportation emissions, if the eligibility requirements and applicable Federal share are met for each program.
6. **Deobligations of Other Title 23 Obligated Funds:** Project Agreements should not be modified to replace one Federal fund category with another unless specifically authorized by statute (*See* 23 CFR 630.110(a)).
7. **Suballocation Within a State** (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175(e))  
*Specified Areas*  
For each fiscal year, 65 percent of funds apportioned to the State for the CRP shall be obligated, in proportion to their relative shares of the population in the State:

- In urbanized areas of the State with an urbanized area population of more than 200,000 (these funds may be obligated in the metropolitan area established under 23 U.S.C.134 that encompasses the urbanized area.);
- In urbanized areas of the State with an urbanized population of not less than 50,000 and not more than 200,000;
- In urban areas of the State with a population of not less than 5,000 and not more than 49,999; and
- In other areas of the State with a population of less than 5,000.

The State may obligate these funds suballocated for specified areas based on other factors if the State and relevant MPOs jointly apply to the Secretary for permission to base the obligation on other factors, and the request is approved by the Secretary.

*Any Area of State*

The remaining 35 percent of funds apportioned to a State for the CRP each fiscal year may be obligated in any area of the State.

## F. CARBON REDUCTION STRATEGIES

1. **General:** By November 15, 2023, States are required to develop a Carbon Reduction Strategy in consultation with any MPO designated within the State (23 U.S.C. 175(d)(1)). The State Carbon Reduction Strategy shall support efforts to reduce transportation emissions and identify projects and strategies to reduce these emissions. The Carbon Reduction Strategy must be updated at least once every four years (23 U.S.C. 175(d)(3) and (4)). States and MPOs are encouraged to obligate CRP funding for projects that support implementation of the State’s Carbon Reduction Strategy.
2. **Development:** States, in coordination with MPOs, are encouraged to develop their Carbon Reduction Strategies as an integral part of their transportation planning processes, such as by integrating them into the State’s Long-Range Statewide Transportation Plan (LRSTP), the MPO’s Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), or by developing a separate document which is incorporated by reference into the LRSTP and MTP.

States may request technical assistance from FHWA for the development of their Carbon Reduction Strategy (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(5)).

Development of a Carbon Reduction Strategy is an allowable use of CRP funds (see Eligibilities below).

3. **Contents:** Each Carbon Reduction Strategy shall (*See* 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(2)):
  - A. support efforts to reduce transportation emissions;
  - B. identify projects and strategies to reduce transportation emissions, which may include projects and strategies for safe, reliable, and cost-effective options—
    - i. to reduce traffic congestion by facilitating the use of alternatives to single-occupant vehicle trips, including public transportation facilities, pedestrian facilities, bicycle facilities, and shared or pooled vehicle trips within the State

- or an area served by the applicable MPO, if any;
      - ii. to facilitate the use of vehicles or modes of travel that result in lower transportation emissions per person-mile traveled as compared to existing vehicles and modes; and
      - iii. to facilitate approaches to the construction of transportation assets that result in lower transportation emissions as compared to existing approaches;
    - C. support the reduction of transportation emissions of the State;
    - D. at the discretion of the State, quantify the total carbon emissions from the production, transport, and use of materials used in the construction of transportation facilities within the State; and
    - E. be appropriate to the population density and context of the State, including any metropolitan planning organization designated within the State.
4. **Review:** Not later than 90 days after the State submits a request for the approval of a Carbon Reduction Strategy, the Secretary will review the process used to develop the Carbon Reduction Strategy and either certify that the Carbon Reduction Strategy meets the requirements of 23 U.S.C. 175(d)(2) or deny certification and specify the actions necessary for the State to take to correct the deficiencies in the State’s process for developing the Carbon Reduction Strategy (23 U.S.C. 175(d)(4)).

## G. ELIGIBILITIES AND COORDINATION REQUIREMENTS

1. **General:** CRP funding may be used on a wide range of projects that support the reduction of transportation emissions. Projects must be identified in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)/Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and be consistent with the Long-Range Statewide Transportation Plan and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan(s). (23 U.S.C. 134 and 23 U.S.C. 135)

Projects are subject to requirements under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 *et seq.*), and other applicable Federal laws. Projects funded with CRP funds are required to be treated as projects on Federal-aid highways (23 U.S.C. 175(g)).

### 2. Program Evaluation

States are encouraged to incorporate program evaluation including associated data collection activities from the outset of their program design and implementation to meaningfully document and measure their progress towards meeting an agency priority goal(s). Title I of the Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act of 2018 (Evidence Act), Pub. L. No. 115-435 (2019) urges federal awarding agencies to use program evaluation as a critical tool to learn, to improve equitable delivery, and to elevate program service and delivery across the program lifecycle. Evaluation means “an assessment using systematic data collection and analysis of one or more programs, policies, and organizations intended to assess their effectiveness and efficiency.” Evidence Act § 101 (codified at 5 U.S.C. § 311). Credible program evaluation activities are implemented with relevance and utility, rigor,



independence and objectivity, transparency, and ethics (OMB Circular A-11, Part 6 Section 290).

Evaluation costs are allowable costs unless prohibited by statute or regulation, and such costs may include the personnel and equipment needed for data infrastructure and expertise in data analysis, performance, and evaluation. (2 CFR Part 200).

- 3. Eligible Activities:** Subject to the general eligibility requirements described in Section E.1 of this memorandum, the following activities are listed as eligible under 23 U.S.C. 175(c):
- A. a project described in 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(4) to establish or operate a traffic monitoring, management, and control facility or program, including advanced truck stop electrification systems;
  - B. a public transportation project eligible for assistance under 23 U.S.C. 142 (this includes eligible capital projects for the construction of a bus rapid transit corridor or dedicated bus lanes as provided for in BIL Section 11130 (23 U.S.C. 142(a)(3));
  - C. a [transportation alternatives project](#) as described in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(29) as in effect prior to the enactment of the FAST Act,<sup>3</sup> including the construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation;
  - D. a project described in section 23 U.S.C. 503(c)(4)(E) for advanced transportation and congestion management technologies;
  - E. a project for the deployment of infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements and the installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communications equipment, including retrofitting dedicated short-range communications (DSRC) technology deployed as part of an existing pilot program to cellular vehicle-to-everything (C-V2X) technology;
  - F. a project to replace street lighting and traffic control devices with energy-efficient alternatives;
  - G. development of a carbon reduction strategy (as described in the Carbon Reduction Strategies section above);
  - H. a project or strategy designed to support congestion pricing, shifting transportation demand to nonpeak hours or other transportation modes, increasing vehicle occupancy rates, or otherwise reducing demand for roads, including electronic toll collection, and travel demand management strategies and programs;
  - I. efforts to reduce the environmental and community impacts of freight movement;
  - J. a project to support deployment of alternative fuel vehicles, including—
    - (i.) the acquisition, installation, or operation of publicly accessible electric vehicle charging infrastructure or hydrogen, natural gas, or propane vehicle fueling infrastructure; and
    - (ii.) the purchase or lease of zero-emission construction equipment and vehicles, including the acquisition, construction, or leasing of required supporting facilities;
  - K. a project described under 23 U.S.C. 149(b)(8) for a diesel engine retrofit;
  - L. certain types of projects to improve traffic flow that are eligible under the CMAQ

---

<sup>3</sup> See [Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside Implementation Guidance as Revised by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act](#)

- program, and that do not involve construction of new capacity; (23 U.S.C. 149(b)(5) and 175(c)(1)(L)); and
- M. a project that reduces transportation emissions at port facilities, including through the advancement of port electrification.

Other projects that are not listed above may be eligible for CRP funds if they can demonstrate reductions in transportation emissions over the project's lifecycle. Consistent with the CRP's goal of reducing transportation emissions, projects to add general-purpose lane capacity for single occupant vehicle use will not be eligible absent analyses demonstrating emissions reductions over the project's lifecycle. For example, the following project types may be eligible for CRP funding:

*Sustainable pavements and construction materials*

Sustainable pavements technologies that reduce embodied carbon during the manufacture and/or construction of highway projects could be eligible for CRP if a lifecycle assessment (LCA) demonstrates substantial reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> compared to the implementing Agency's typical pavement-related practices. The [LCA Pave Tool](#) can be used to assess the CO<sub>2</sub> impacts of pavement material and design decisions.

*Climate Uses of Highway Right-of-Way*

Projects including alternative uses of highway right-of-way (ROW) that reduce transportation emissions are also eligible. For example, renewable energy generation facilities, such as solar arrays and wind turbines, can reduce transportation emissions. And, biologic carbon sequestration practices along highway ROW to capture and store CO<sub>2</sub> may demonstrate potential for substantial long-term transportation emissions reductions. [State DOTs Leveraging Alternative Uses of the Highway Right-of-Way Guidance](#) provides information on these practices.

*Mode Shift*

Projects that maximize the existing right-of-way for accommodation of nonmotorized modes and transit options that increase safety, equity, accessibility, and connectivity may be eligible. Projects that separate motor vehicles from pedestrians and bicyclists, match vehicle speeds to the built environment, increase visibility (e.g., lighting), and advance implementation of a Safe System approach and improve safety for vulnerable road users may also be eligible. Micromobility and electric bike projects, including charging infrastructure, may also be eligible.

States should work with the FHWA on eligibility questions for specific projects. The [CMAQ Emissions Calculator Toolkit](#) is an available resource for estimating the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions benefits of certain projects.

#### **4. Flexibility on Use of Funds and Certification of Emissions Reduction**

In addition to the above eligibilities, a State may use funds apportioned under CRP for any project eligible under the Surface Transportation Block Grant program (23 U.S.C 133(b)) if the Secretary certifies that the State has demonstrated a reduction in

transportation emissions (1) as estimated on a per capita basis, and (2) as estimated on a per unit of economic output basis. In the first year of this program, States should initially focus on developing their Carbon Reduction Strategies and using CRP funding to begin implementing their Carbon Reduction Strategies once adopted to establish a baseline; for this reason, the Secretary will not certify flexibility for the CRP until at least FY 2023. FHWA will publish additional guidance on the process under which the Secretary will certify state transportation emissions reductions. Section C.4 of this memo discusses the separate flexibility on transferability between FHWA programs.

## **5. Consultation and Coordination**

### *Coordination in Urbanized Areas*

Before obligating funds for eligible projects in an urbanized area that is not a transportation management area, a State must coordinate with any MPO that represents the urbanized area prior to determining which activities should be carried out under the project (23 U.S.C. 175(e)(4)). The State and MPO must also use their documented public involvement processes, including their process for seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services (23 U.S.C. 450.210(a)(1)(viii) and 450.316(a)(1)(vii)).

### *Consultation in Rural Areas*

Before obligating funds for an eligible project in a rural area, a State must consult with any regional transportation planning organization or MPO that represents the rural area prior to determining which activities should be carried out under the project (23 U.S.C. 175(e)(5)). The State and MPO must also use their documented public involvement processes, including their process for seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services (23 U.S.C. 450.210(a)(1)(viii) and 450.316(a)(1)(vii)).

## **H. DAVIS-BACON ACT REQUIREMENTS**

As provided at 23 U.S.C 175(g), all projects funded with CRP funding shall be treated as located on a Federal-aid highway. Accordingly, 23 U.S.C 113 applies, and Davis-Bacon wage rates must be paid. In general, Davis-Bacon requires that all laborers and mechanics employed by the applicant, subrecipients, contractors or subcontractors in the performance of construction, alteration, or repair work on an award or project in excess of \$2000 funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by funds made available under CRP shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on similar projects in the locality, as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code commonly referred to as the “Davis-Bacon Act” (DBA).

For additional guidance on how to comply with DBA provisions and clauses, see <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/government-contracts/construction> and

<https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/government-contracts/protections-for-workers-in-construction>. See also <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/construction/cqit/dbacon.cfm>.



## MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

www.laredompo.org

**Meeting Date & Time:** August 16, 2023 at 1:30 P.M.

**Meeting Location:** City of Laredo Council Chambers, 1110 Houston St., Laredo, Texas 78040

**Meeting Link:** <http://laredotx.swagit.com/live>

**Laredo TV:** Spectrum TV channel 1300

### **AGENDA:**

- I. CHAIRPERSON TO CALL MEETING TO ORDER
- II. CHAIRPERSON TO CALL ROLL
- III. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Speakers are required to fill out witness cards, which must be submitted to MPO Staff no later than 1:45 p.m. the day of the meeting. Speakers shall identify themselves at the microphone. Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. No more than three (3) persons will be allowed to speak on any side of an issue. Should there be more than three (3) people who wish to speak on a specific issue, they should select not more than three (3) representatives to speak on their behalf. The presiding officer may further limit public on the interest of order or time. Speakers may not transfer their minutes to any other speaker. Comments should be relevant to MPO business and delivered in a professional manner. No derogatory remarks shall be permitted.

#### IV. ITEMS REQUIRING POLICY COMMITTEE ACTION:

- A. Approval of the minutes for the meeting held on July 19, 2023.
- B. Discussion with possible action to approve Resolution No. **MPO 2023-11**, adopting the FY 2022 Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (APL).
- C. Discussion with possible action on programming of projects with Carbon Reduction Program funds.

## MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

D. Discussion with possible action on the Hachar-Reuthinger Road project.

V. REPORT(S) AND PRESENTATIONS (No action required).

A. Presentation by TxDOT to discuss the Ports-to-Plain (P2P) Implementation Plan Project Prioritization.

B. Status report by the Regional Mobility Authority (RMA).

VI. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

VII. ADJOURNMENT

### **NOTICE INFORMATION:**

Notice of this meeting was posted at the municipal government offices, 1110 Houston Street, Laredo, Texas, at a place convenient and readily accessible to the public at all times. Said notice was posted on Friday, August 11<sup>th</sup> 2023 by 5:00 PM. The agenda and meeting information was also posted online at <http://www.laredompo.org/agendas-minutes/>.

All meetings of the MPO Committee are open to the public. Persons who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aid or services such as: interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers of large print or Braille, or a translator for the Spanish language are requested to contact MPO Staff at 956-794-1605, or via email at [aavigil@ci.laredo.tx.us](mailto:aavigil@ci.laredo.tx.us) at least two working days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Materials in Spanish may also be provided upon request.

Disability Access Statement: This meeting is wheelchair accessible. The accessible ramps are located at 1110 Victoria and 910 Flores. Accessible parking spaces are located at City Hall, 1110 Victoria.

Ayuda o Servicios Auxiliares: Todas las reuniones del Comité del MPO están abiertas al público. Personas que planean asistir a esta reunión y que pueden necesitar ayuda o servicios auxiliares como: interpretes para personas con discapacidad auditiva, lectores de letra grande o en Braille, o un traductor para el idioma español deben comunicarse con el personal del MPO al 956-794-1605 o por correo electrónico [aavigil@ci.laredo.tx.us](mailto:aavigil@ci.laredo.tx.us) por lo menos dos días laborales antes de la reunión para que se puedan hacer los arreglos apropiados. Material en español está disponible mediante una petición.

Declaración de Acceso a la Discapacidad: Esta reunión permite el acceso a personas en silla de ruedas. Las rampas de acceso están ubicadas en 1110 Victoria y 900 Flores. Los espacios de estacionamiento para discapacitados se encuentran por la calle Victoria.

## MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

Información en español: Si usted desea esta información en español o si desea explicación sobre el contenido, por favor llámenos al teléfono (956) 794-1605 o comunicarse con nosotros mediante correo electrónico a [aavigil@ci.laredo.tx.us](mailto:aavigil@ci.laredo.tx.us).

### **POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP:**

#### LWCAMPO Chairperson

Honorable Tano E. Tijerina, Webb County Judge

#### LWCAMPO Vice-Chairperson

Honorable Dr. Victor Treviño, City of Laredo Mayor

#### City of Laredo Representatives:

Honorable Ruben Gutierrez, Jr., City Councilmember, District V

Honorable Melissa R. Cigarroa, City Councilmember, District III

#### County of Webb Representatives:

Honorable Jesse Gonzalez, Webb County Commissioner, Pct. 1

Honorable John Galo, Webb County Commissioner, Pct. 3

#### Laredo Mass Transit Board Representative:

Honorable Vanessa Perez, City Councilmember, District VII

#### State Representative:

Mr. Epigmenio "Epi" Gonzalez, P.E., TxDOT District Engineer

#### Member at Large Representative:

Jed A. Brown

#### Ex-Officio Representatives:

Honorable Judith Zaffirini, State Senator, District 21

Honorable Richard Raymond, State Representative, District 42

Honorable Tracy O. King, State Representative, District 80

### **AGENDA REVIEWED:**

MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

---

Juan S. Mendive, AICP  
LWCAMPO Director

---

Jose A. Valdez, Jr.  
Laredo City Secretary

DRAFT



**Discussion of old or new business**

**Adjournment**