



REC'D CITY SEC OFF
APR 16 '21 PM 12:18

MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

www.laredompo.org

Meeting Date & Time: April 19th, 2021 1:30 p.m.

Meeting Location: Virtual

Meeting Link: <http://laredotx.swagit.com/live>

Public Access Channel: Spectrum TV channel 1300

In order to adhere to the current public gathering guidelines, this meeting will be held in a virtual meeting format. Citizens wishing to provide public comment may phone in their comments during the meeting, or submit them electronically through means provided (see information below).

AGENDA:

- I. CHAIRPERSON TO CALL MEETING TO ORDER
- II. CHAIRPERSON TO CALL ROLL
- III. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Citizens interested in providing comments on a particular item are to submit their comments in writing via the "Online Response Form", available at the "CONTACT US" tab of the MPO website located at <http://www.laredompo.org/contact-us/>. Comments are to be submitted no later than 1:15 p.m. the day of the meeting. During the meeting, a telephone number shall be provided to allow citizens the opportunity to call in to speak on a particular item. Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. No more than three (3) persons will be allowed to speak on any side of an issue. Should there be more than three (3) people who wish to speak on a specific issue, they should select not more than three (3) representatives to speak on their behalf. The presiding officer may further limit public on the interest of order or time. Speakers may not transfer their minutes to any other speaker. Comments should be relevant to MPO business and delivered in a professional manner. No derogatory remarks shall be permitted.

MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

IV. ITEMS REQUIRING POLICY COMMITTEE ACTION:

- A. Approval of the minutes for the virtual meeting held on March 15th, 2021.
- B. Discussion with possible action related to the need for internal infrastructure at Unitec Industrial Park to accommodate the additional traffic that will be generated by the expansion related to the newly approved City TIRZ. (Mr. Humberto "Tito" Gonzalez)
- C. Discussion with possible action on a motion to approve the second version of the Laredo Transit Management Inc. (LTMI) Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) and Safety Performance Targets for calendar year 2021 and to incorporate into the Metropolitan and Statewide Planning Process with the Laredo Metropolitan Organization (MPO).
- D. Discussion with possible action on Hachar-Reuthinger.

V. REPORT(S) AND PRESENTATIONS (No action required).

- A. Update by TxDOT staff on three projects in the design stage currently out for public comment; intersection improvements on FM 1472, an expansion project on US 59, and a signal project at Business 59 and San Francisco.
- B. Update by MPO staff of an administrative modification to the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to accept the recently revised group project definitions, and an administrative modification to the 2020-2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) to include Appendix A – Short Range Projects Identified in the MTP and Listed in the TIP.
- C. Presentation by MPO staff of the ranking of unfunded projects based on the survey released at the joint City, County, RMA, MPO meeting held on February 11, 2021.
- D. Status report by the Regional Mobility Authority (RMA).

VI. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

VII. ADJOURNMENT

MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP:

County of Webb Representatives:

Honorable Tano E. Tijerina, Webb County Judge
Honorable Jesse Gonzalez, Webb County Commissioner, Pct. 1
Honorable John Galo, Webb County Commissioner, Pct. 3

City of Laredo Representatives:

Honorable Pete Saenz, Mayor and LWCAMPO Chairperson
Honorable Ruben Gutierrez, Jr., City Councilmember, District V
Honorable Dr. Marte Martinez, City Councilmember, District VI

Laredo Mass Transit Board Representative:

Honorable Vanessa Perez, City Councilmember, District VII

State DOT Representative:

Mr. David M. Salazar, Jr. P.E., TxDOT District Engineer

Private Sector Representative:

Mr. Humberto "Tito" Gonzalez, Jr., P.E.

Ex-Officio Representatives:

Honorable Judith Zaffirini, State Senator, District 21
Honorable Richard Raymond, State Representative, District 42
Honorable Tracy O. King, State Representative, District 80

AGENDA REVIEWED:



J. Kirby Snideman, AICP
LW-CAMPO Director



Jose A. Valdez, Jr.
Laredo City Secretary

MPO POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

NOTICE INFORMATION:

Notice of this meeting was posted at the municipal government offices, 1110 Houston Street, Laredo, Texas, at a place convenient and readily accessible to the public at all times. Said notice was posted 72 hours before the meeting date and time. The agenda and meeting information was also posted online at <http://www.laredompo.org/agendas-minutes/>.

All meetings of the MPO Committee are open to the public. Persons who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aid or services such as: interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired, readers of large print or Braille, or a translator for the Spanish language are requested to contact MPO Staff at 956-794-1613, or via email at planner@ci.laredo.tx.us prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Materials in Spanish may also be provided upon request.

Disability Access Statement: This meeting is wheelchair accessible. The accessible entrances are located at 1110 Victoria and 910 Flores. Accessible parking spaces are located at City Hall, 1110 Victoria.

Ayuda o Servicios Auxiliares: Todas las reuniones del Comité del MPO están abiertas al público. Personas que planean asistir a esta reunión y que pueden necesitar ayuda o servicios auxiliares como: interpretes para personas con discapacidad auditiva, lectores de letra grande o en Braille, o un traductor para el idioma español deben comunicarse con el personal del MPO al 956-794-1613 o por correo electrónico planner@ci.laredo.tx.us antes de la reunión para que los arreglos apropiados se pueden hacer. Materiales en español se proveerán a petición.

Declaración de Acceso a la Discapacidad: Esta reunión es accesible para sillas de ruedas. Las entradas accesibles están ubicadas en 1110 Victoria y 900 Flores. Los espacios de estacionamiento para discapacitados se encuentran por la calle Victoria.

Información en español: Si usted desea esta información en español o si desea explicación sobre el contenido, por favor llámenos al teléfono (956) 794-1613 o comunicarse con nosotros mediante correo electrónico a planner@ci.laredo.tx.us.

Laredo-Webb County Area (LWCA)

**Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee
Virtual Meeting**

LIVE WEB LINK: <http://laredotx.swagit.com/live>

PUBLIC ACCESS CHANNEL: Spectrum TV channel 1300



MINUTES OF THE VIRTUAL MARCH 15TH, 2021 VIRTUAL SPECIAL MEETING

Regular members present:

Honorable Pete Saenz, Mayor and LW-CAMPO Chairperson
Honorable Tano E. Tijerina, Webb County Judge
Honorable Dr. Marte Martinez, City Councilmember, District VI
Humberto "Tito" Gonzalez, P.E., Private Sector
David M. Salazar, Jr., P.E., TxDOT District Engineer
Honorable Ruben Gutierrez, Jr., City Councilmember District V
Honorable Vanessa Perez, City Councilmember District VII

Regular members no present:

Honorable John Galo, Webb County Commissioner, Pct. 3
Honorable Jesse Gonzalez, Webb County Commissioner, Pct. 1

Ex-Officio Members Not Present:

Honorable Richard Raymond, State Representative, District 42
Honorable Judith Zaffirini, State Senator, District 21
Honorable Tracy O. King, State Representative, District 80

Staff (Of Participating LWCA Agencies) Present:

City: J. Kirby Snideman, City Planning/LW-CAMPO Staff
Graciela Briones, City Planning/LW-CAMPO Staff
Juan Mendive, City Planning/LW-CAMPO Staff
Julio Niño, City Planning/LW-CAMPO Staff
Jason Hinojosa, City Planning/LW-CAMPO Staff
Angie Quijano, City Planning/LW-CAMPO Staff

Ramon Chavez, City Engineering Department

State: Roberto Rodriguez, TxDOT
Sara Garza, TxDOT

Others: Luis Perez Garcia, Webb County Engineering
Guillermo Cuellar, Webb County Engineering
Melisa Montemayor, HNTB, Inc.
Antonio Rodriguez, HNTB, Inc.

I. CHAIRPERSON TO CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Mayor Saenz called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

II. CHAIRPERSON TO CALL ROLL

Graciela Briones, LW-CAMPO Staff, called roll and verified a quorum existed.

III. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Speakers are required to fill out witness cards, which must be submitted to MPO Staff no later than 15 minutes after the start of the meeting. Speakers shall identify themselves at the microphone. Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. No more than three (3) persons will be allowed to speak on any side of an issue. Should there be more than three (3) people who wish to speak on a specific issue, they should select not more than three (3) representatives to speak on their behalf. The presiding officer may further limit public on the interest of order or time. Speakers may not transfer their minutes to any other speaker. Comments should be relevant to City business and delivered in a professional manner. No derogatory remarks shall be permitted.

IV. ITEMS REQUIRING POLICY COMMITTEE ACTION:

A. Approval of the minutes for the virtual meeting held on February 22nd, 2021.

Dr. Martinez made a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting held February 22nd, 2021.

Second: CM. Perez
For: 7
Against: 0
Abstained: 0

Motion carried unanimously

B. Discussion with possible action on the formation of a Freight and Logistics Committee as a subcommittee of the MPO, similar to the Technical Committee and Active Transportation Committee, and any matters related thereto.

Mayor Saenz stated City Council has also wanted to create a similar committee and to consider groups by Tamaulipas and Nuevo Leon to discuss transportation infrastructure a but has not been successful.

Dr. Martinez asked what the purpose of the committee was.

Kirby Snideman, MPO Director, stated that the purpose of the committee has not been defined at the moment. He requested to allow staff to complete the Freight Logistics Infrastructure Plan which will begin very soon.

Dr. Martinez stated the City's committee was not quite there yet. He stated he felt it was too early to have discussions especially not knowing what the committee would entail.

Cm. V. Perez stated she felt there was room for another committee especially if the committee would be focused more on the international side. She stated residents and the trade community have experienced traffic congestion issues along FM 1472.

Humberto "Tito" Gonzalez, TxDOT, stated technical committees have the opportunity to strengthen the MPO as a whole. He stated if said committees have the right personnel on it, it would make the job of the MPO Policy committee a lot easier. He also stated that it was important to have said committees but to make sure the committees are clearly defined and set a goal of how that would be accomplished.

Mr. Snideman stated Staff would want to make the committee more defined where input can be given along the process. He also stated of big part missing in the MPO is public input, both informally and formally. The committee would be focused mainly on the infrastructure needed, short and long term.

Judge Tijerina stated a freight and logistics committee would be a great idea but he also stated that it would also rely mostly on the MPO committee in order to be able to move the freight and people freely.

Cm. Perez, asked if there was anything in the works for FM 1472.

Mr. Snideman stated the MPO Policy committee was focused more on long range planning. He stated any operations and maintenance would be directly addressed by the City and TxDOT.

David Salazar, TxDOT District Engineer, stated the Technical committee was a key component of the MPO. He stated that that a sub-committee would be a great asset but would need to be cautious because there might be conflicts as to what direction to take.

C. Discussion with possible action on Hachar-Reuthinger.

Guillermo Cuellar, Webb County Assistant Engineer, stated the County was working with the consultant to have all the pending deliverables sent to TxDOT by March 26th. He stated that would conclude all the work the County has pending with Dannenbaum Engineering.

David Salazar, TxDOT, stated the City and the County would be receiving letters to amend the current documents allowing TxDOT to take over the environmental clearance of the project.

Ramon Chavez, City Engineer, stated he had no updates at the moment. He stated the land had been swapped and waiting for the title commitments.

V. REPORT(S) AND PRESENTATIONS (No action required).

A. Status report by the Regional Mobility Authority (RMA).

Melisa Montemayor, HNTB, Inc. gave a brief presentation on the item as follows:

1. Killam Industrial Blvd. Turn Lanes – Anticipated NTP for Anderson Columbia for construction will be in February. Construction start date is anticipated for this month (April 2021).
2. Los Presidentes (Cuatro Vientos to Brownwood) – Bid opening occurred on 12/30/20. MTZ Construction was the low bidder and has been awarded the construction contract on 1/19/21. Pre-Construction Meeting was scheduled for this Wednesday on 3/17/21. Construction start date is anticipated to commence 10 days later.
3. Vallecillo Road – Received a Resolution of Support by the MPO on 2/16/21 from the MPO Policy Committee Meeting. A resolution of support was received from State Representative Tracy King, State Senator Judith Zaffirini and US Congressman Henry Cuellar. State Representative Richard Raymond has committed to providing a letter of support to the project, as well, and we anticipate receiving the letter shortly. Upon receipt, we will coordinate a meeting with Texas Transportation Commissioner Chairman Bruce Bugg. A meeting was anticipated to occur later in March or April.

B. Presentation by MPO Staff on the proposed MPO Work Plan.

Mr. Snideman gave a brief presentation on the proposed work plan. He stated the work plan would consist mostly of MPO staff completing MPO work plans. He stated it would

take less time especially because the procurement process would not be needed. He also stated they would also be looking at the next five years and even beyond that to see what issues that need to be studied, what plans to be complete, and what part of the region, city, and county need more focus on.

Mayor Saenz left the meeting at 2:36 p.m.

VI. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

There were no director's comments.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Judge Tijerina made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 2:39 p.m.

Second:	CM. Perez
For:	6
Against:	0
Abstained:	0

Motion carried unanimously

J. Kirby Snideman, AICP
MPO Director

Pete Saenz, Mayor and LW-CAMPO
Chairperson

B. Discussion with possible action related to the need for internal infrastructure at Unitec Industrial Park to accommodate the additional traffic that will be generated by the expansion related to the newly approved City TIRZ. (Mr. Humberto "Tito" Gonzalez)

ACTION ITEM

DATE: 4-19-21	SUBJECT: MOTION(S) 1. Discussion and recommendation on a motion to approve the second version of the Laredo Transit Management Inc. (LTMI) Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) and Safety Performance Targets for calendar year 2021 and to incorporate into the Metropolitan and Statewide Planning Process with the Laredo Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO).
INITIATED BY: MPO Staff El Metro Staff	STAFF SOURCE: J. Kirby Snideman, MPO Director Claudia San Miguel, Transit General Manager
PREVIOUS COMMITTEE ACTION: None	
BACKGROUND: In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5303(h)(2)(B) and 5304(d)(2)(B), each State and transit agency must make its safety performance targets available to States and Metropolitan Planning Organizations to aid in the planning process. 49 C.F.R. § 673.15(b) requires, to the maximum extent practicable, a State or transit agency to coordinate with States and Metropolitan Planning Organizations in the selection of State and MPO safety performance targets. MPOs are required to reference the safety performance targets and Agency Safety Plans in their Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) updated or amended after July 20, 2021. As a result, the Laredo transit public transportation provider, El Metro, also known as the Laredo Transit Management Inc. (LTMI), has developed and is recommending for the Laredo MPO to adopt the second version of the LTMI Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTSAP) and Safety Performance Targets for calendar year 2021 which have been approved by the City of Laredo Mass Transit Board and certified by Laredo Transit Management, Inc. The LTMI's PTASP was adopted and approved by the Laredo Mass Transit Board on March 29, 2021 and subsequently certified by Laredo Transit Management, Inc, on April 12, 2021 Attachments: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• El Metro Transit Agency Safety Plan Version 2• Email to TxDOT	
FINANCIAL IMPACT: No Impact.	
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: Approval	STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

Laredo Transit Management, Inc.

El Metro Transit

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan

Version 2

March 29, 2021

In compliance with 49 CFR Part 673

**Developed in conjunction with the
Texas Department of Transportation
and the Laredo Transit
Management, Inc.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Executive Summary	4
A. Plan Adoption – 673.11(a)(1)	5
B. Certification of Compliance – 673.13(a)(b)	5
2. Transit Agency Information – 673.23(d)	6
A. Authorities & Responsibilities – 673.23(d)	9
3. Safety Policies and Procedures	10
A. Policy Statement – 673.23(a)	10
I. Employee Safety Reporting Program – 673.23(b)	11
II. Communicating the Policy Throughout the Agency – 673.23(c)	12
B. PTASP Development and Coordination with TxDOT – 673.11(d)	13
C. PTASP Annual Review – 673.11(a)(5)	14
D. PTASP Maintenance – 673.11(a)(2)(c)	15
E. PTASP Documentation and Recordkeeping – 673.31	15
F. Safety Performance Measures – 673.11(a)(3)	16
G. Safety Performance Target Coordination – 673.15(a)(b)	19
4. Safety Management Systems – 673 subpart C	19
A. Safety Risk Management – 673.25	20
I. Safety Hazard Identification – 673.25(b)	23
II. Safety Risk Assessment – 673.25(c)	27
III. Safety Risk Mitigation – 673.25(d)	32
B. Safety Assurance – 673.27 (a)	33
I. Safety Performance Monitoring and Measuring – 673.27 (b)	36
II. Safety Event Investigation – 673.27(B)(3)	37
C. Safety Promotion – 673.29	39
I. Safety Competencies and Training – 673.29(a)	39
II. Safety Communication – 673.29(b)	41
5. Appendix A	44
A. Glossary of Terms	49
B. Additional Acronyms Used	53
6. Appendix B	54
A. City Council/Board Minutes or Resolution	54
B. Record of Changes	56

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: El Metro Organizational Chart	8
Figure 2: Safety Management Systems.....	20
Figure 3: Safety Risk Management Process	21
Figure 4: Draft Risk Register.....	22
Figure 5: Safety Risk Assessment Steps in Populating the Risk Register.....	28
Figure 6: Safety Risk Assessment Matrices	29
Figure 7: Safety Risk Acceptance Actions	31

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Agency Information.....	7
Table 2: ASP Annual Update Timeline.....	14
Table 3: NSP Safety Performance Measures.....	16
Table 4: Baseline 2019 Safety Performance Measures.....	16
Table 5: 5-Year Safety Performance for El Metro by Mode of Service	17
Table 6: Fixed Route (Bus) Safety Performance Targets.....	18
Table 7: Demand Response Safety Performance Targets	18
Table 8: PTASP Supporting Documents.....	44
Table 9: ASP Record of Changes	56

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) granted the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) the authority to establish and enforce a comprehensive framework to oversee the safety of public transportation throughout the United States. MAP-21 expanded the regulatory authority of FTA to oversee safety, providing an opportunity to assist transit agencies in moving towards a more holistic, performance-based approach to Safety Management Systems (SMS). This authority was continued through the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act).

In compliance with MAP-21 and the FAST Act, FTA promulgated a Public Transportation Safety Program on August 11, 2016 that adopted SMS as the foundation for developing and implementing a Safety Program. FTA is committed to developing, implementing, and consistently improving strategies and processes to ensure that transit achieves the highest practicable level of safety. SMS helps organizations improve upon their safety performance by supporting the institutionalization of beliefs, practices, and procedures for identifying, mitigating, and monitoring safety risks.

There are several components of the national safety program, including the National Public Transportation Safety Plan (NSP), that FTA published to provide guidance on managing safety risks and safety hazards. One element of the NSP is the Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan. Public transportation agencies implemented TAM plans across the industry in 2018. The subject of this document is the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) rule, 49 CFR Part 673, and guidance provided by FTA.

Safety is a core business function of all public transportation providers and should be systematically applied to every aspect of service delivery. At Laredo Transit Management, Inc. (LTMI), all levels of Leadership, Administration and Operations are responsible for the safety of their clientele and themselves. To improve public transportation safety to the highest practicable level in the State of Texas and comply with FTA requirements, the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has developed the initial Agency Safety Plan (ASP) in collaboration with Laredo Transit Management, Inc. and the Laredo Transit Management, Inc. has updated the initial Agency Safety Plan to develop the second version of the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan in collaboration with the City of Laredo and the Laredo Mass Transit Board with fiduciary responsibility for (LTMI).


To ensure that the necessary processes are in place to accomplish both enhanced safety at the local level and the goals of the NSP, the City of Laredo, the Laredo Mass Transit Board and LTMI adopt this ASP and the tenets of SMS including a Safety Management Policy (SMP) and the processes for Safety Risk Management (SRM), Safety Assurance (SA), and Safety Promotion (SP), per 49 U.S.C. 5329(d)(1)(A).¹ While safety has always been a primary function at LTMI, this document lays out a process to fully implement an SMS over the next several years that complies with the PTASP final rule.

¹ Federal Register, Vol. 81, No. 24

A. Plan Adoption – 673.11(a)(1)

This Public Transit Agency Safety Plan is hereby adopted, certified as compliant, and signed by:

Claudia San Miguel, El Metro General Manager



ACCOUNTABLE EXECUTIVE SIGNATURE 4/12/2021
DATE

Since El Metro is considered a department of the City of Laredo, the main governing body is the Laredo City Council acting as the Laredo Mass Transit Board. Approval of this plan by the City Council/Mass Transit Board occurred on [March 29, 2021](#) and is documented in RESOLUTION No. [2021-RT-05](#) from the City Council Meeting.

B. Certification of Compliance – 673.13(a)(b)

El Metro certifies on [April 12, 2021](#), that this Agency Safety Plan is in full compliance with 49 CFR Part 673 and has been adopted and will be implemented by El Metro as evidenced by the plan adoption signature and necessary City Council/Laredo Mass Transit Board approvals under Section 1.A of this plan. In addition, El Metro will certify compliance with the PTASP regulation through FTA’s Transit Award Management System (TrAMS) and the annual Certifications and Assurances process.

2. TRANSIT AGENCY INFORMATION – 673.23(D)

The City of Laredo began operating public transportation in 1985. LTMI was founded in 2003 and is governed by the City of Laredo Mass Transit Board. The City contracts with First Transit, Inc. to provide management and operating services. Under the contract, First Transit, Inc. provides a General Manager and three Assistant General Managers. The General Manager is approved by the Laredo Mass Transit Board to oversee the administrative functions of LTMI. LTMI is known as El Metro.

El Metro is the public transportation provider for the City of Laredo, Texas and is the largest transit provider in the region. The El Metro main office/transfer center is located at 1301 Farragut St., Laredo, TX 78040.

El Metro operates fixed route services seven days a week across 23 routes within the City of Laredo. Schedules for fixed route service vary by route, with many routes having one schedule for Monday-Friday or Monday-Saturday and another schedule for Saturdays or Sundays/Holidays. In addition, some routes have A and B segments that have differing schedules. El Metro also operates El Lift Paratransit, which provides shared, origin to destination public transportation to people with disabilities who are unable to use El Metro's fixed route buses. El Lift uses the following service schedule:

- Monday, Wednesday, Friday: 5:00 am – 10:30 pm
- Tuesday, Thursday: 5:30 am – 10:30 pm
- Sunday: 8:00 am – 8:30 pm

El Metro Transit is provided by the City of Laredo through the Laredo Mass Transit Board and managed by a private contractor, First Transit, Inc. which provides the General Manager and the management team consisting of the Assistant General Manager of Maintenance & Facilities, the Assistant General Manager of Administration and the Assistant General Manager of Operations. The Maintenance Asset Officer, the Mobility Manager, the Operations Manager, the Transit Procurement Specialist, the Chief Safety Officer (CSO), and Safety and Training Coordinator are part of Laredo Transit Management, Inc.


No additional transit service is provided by El Metro on behalf of another transit agency or entity at the time of the development of this plan.

Table 1 contains agency information, while an organizational chart for El Metro is provided in Figure 1.

TABLE 1: AGENCY INFORMATION

Information Type	Information
Full Transit Agency Name	Laredo Transit Management, Inc. (LTMI)
Transit Agency Address	1301 Farragut St, Laredo, TX 78040
Name and Title of Accountable Executive 673.23(d)(1)	Claudia San Miguel, General Manager
Name of Chief Safety Officer or SMS Executive 673.23(d)(2)	Adrian Chavera, Chief Safety Officer
Key Staff	Joe Jackson, AGM of Maintenance & Facilities
Key Staff	Monica Garcia, AGM of Administration/PIO
Key Staff	Rosa Soto, AGM of Operations
Key Staff	Joe Lerma, Safety & Training Coordinator
Key Staff	Arturo Trevino, Route Supervisor with added Safety duties
Mode(s) of Service Covered by This Plan 673.11(b)	Fixed Route Bus and Demand Response
List All FTA Funding Types (e.g., 5307, 5310, 5311)	5307, 5310, 5339
Mode(s) of Service Provided by the Transit Agency (Directly operated or contracted service)	Fixed Route Bus and Demand Response
Number of Vehicles Operated	70

FIGURE 1: EL METRO ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



A. Authorities & Responsibilities – 673.23(d)

As stated in 49 CFR Part 673.23(d), El Metro has established the necessary authority, accountabilities, and responsibilities for the management of safety amongst the key individuals within the organization, as those individuals relate to the development and management of our SMS. In general, the following defines the authority and responsibilities associated with our organization.

The **Accountable Executive** has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the SMS of our public transportation agency, and control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the ASP, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(d), and the agency's TAM Plan, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5326. The Accountable Executive has authority and responsibility to address substandard performance in the El Metro SMS, per 673.23(d)(1).

Agency leadership and executive management include members of our agency leadership or executive management, other than the Accountable Executive, CSO/SMS Executive, who have authority or responsibility for day-to-day implementation and operation of our agency's SMS.

The **CSO** is an adequately trained individual who has the authority and responsibility as designated by the Accountable Executive for the day-to-day implementation and operation of the El Metro SMS. As such, the CSO is able to report directly to our transit agency's Accountable Executive.

Key staff are staff, groups of staff, or committees to support the Accountable Executive, CSO or SMS Executive in developing, implementing, and operating our agency's SMS.

Front line employees perform the daily tasks and activities where hazards can be readily identified so the identified hazards can be addressed before the hazards become adverse events. These employees are critical to SMS success through each employee's respective role in reporting safety hazards, which is where an effective SMS and a positive safety culture begins.

In addition, over the next year, El Metro Payroll and Benefits Coordinator in collaboration with the CSO will be reviewing and modifying, if necessary, our current job descriptions to ensure the job descriptions comply with 49 CFR Part 673.

3. SAFETY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. Policy Statement – 673.23(a)

El Metro recognizes that the management of safety is a core value of our business. The management team at El Metro has embraced the SMS and is committed to developing, implementing, maintaining, and constantly improving processes to ensure the safety of our employees, customers, and the general public. All levels of management and frontline employees are committed to safety and understand that safety is the primary responsibility of all employees.

El Metro is committed to:

- Communicating the purpose and benefits of the SMS to all staff, the union, managers, supervisors, and employees. This communication will specifically define the duties and responsibilities of each employee throughout the organization and all employees will receive appropriate information and SMS training.
- Providing appropriate management involvement and the necessary resources to establish an effective reporting system that will encourage employees to communicate and report any unsafe work conditions, hazards, or at-risk behavior to the management team.
- Identifying hazardous and unsafe work conditions and analyzing data from the employee reporting system. After thoroughly analyzing provided data, the transit operations division will develop processes and procedures to mitigate safety risk to an acceptable level.
- Ensuring that no action will be taken against employees who disclose safety concerns through the reporting system, unless disclosure indicates an illegal act, gross negligence, or deliberate or willful disregard of regulations or procedures.
- Establishing Safety Performance Targets (SPT) that are realistic, measurable, and data driven.
- Continually improving our safety performance through management processes that ensure appropriate safety management action is taken and is effective.



Claudia San Miguel, El Metro General Manager

I. Employee Safety Reporting Program – 673.23(b)

Frontline employees are a significant source of safety data. These employees are typically the first to spot unsafe conditions that arise from unplanned conditions either on the vehicles, in the maintenance shop, or in the field during operations. For this reason, the Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP) is a major tenet of the PTASP Rule. Under this rule, agencies must establish and implement a process that allows employees to report safety conditions directly to senior management; provides protections for employees who report safety conditions to senior management; and includes a description of employee behaviors that may result in disciplinary action.

El Metro has the *LTMI Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP)* (Appendix A, Table 8 shows the document name, file name, and date of adoption) under which the employees are expected to use established procedures to submit comments, information, and assistance where safety and health is concerned. The program requires employees to report hazards, unsafe conditions, and unsafe behaviors to the Safety & Training Coordinator, Chief Safety Officer, their immediate Supervisor, any Safety Planning Advisory Committee (SPAC) member or Department Leadership. Employees have the option of submitting reports confidentially using the *LTMI Employee Safety Hazard Reporting Form* (Appendix A) and as such can report concerns without fear of repercussions. The program also calls for employees to meet on a regular basis to discuss safety and health issues. These meetings also provide another avenue for employees to report concerns.

In addition, El Metro has a policy in place in the *General Rules, Regulations and Policies Employee Handbook* (Appendix A) that requires employees who discover a condition which imperils the welfare of passengers, employees, and/or equipment to promptly report the problem to the Maintenance, Supervisor or Dispatcher.

El Metro also has a *Customer Comment/Complaint Procedure and Record Retention Policy* (Appendix A) that ensures riders of the system have an easy and accessible way to provide feedback to the agency. This procedure provides customers with a variety of ways to contact El Metro with comments or complaints and also provides protocols for feedback acknowledgment and customer report retention. In addition, employees can also submit safety concerns, anonymous or not, using the customer comment/complaint form on www.elmetrotransit.com.

During the annual review, El Metro will review and modify, if necessary, both our internal and external reporting procedures and programs to develop them into a full ESRP to ensure that the procedure complies with 49 CFR Part 673. *LTMI Employee Safety Reporting Program* Implementation, LTMI will conduct Instruction-Led Training for all employees. They will be presented with a PowerPoint presentation and copies of the reporting form. In addition, LTMI will post avenues for reporting, with protections, at each Department's bulletin board. Any changes will be submitted at annual certification. On March 23, 2020, El Metro forwarded the *LTMI Employee Safety Reporting Program and memo*, via certified mail, to the Union.

In general, the El Metro ESRP will ensure that all employees are encouraged to report safety conditions directly to senior management or their direct supervisor for elevation to senior management. The policy will include any contract employees. The policy also includes what protections are afforded to employees who report safety related conditions and describes employee behaviors that are not covered by those protections. The policy also elaborates on how safety conditions that are reported will be reported back to the initiator(s) – either to the individual or groups of individuals or organization, dependent on the nature of the safety condition.

To bolster the information received from frontline employees, El Metro will continue to review our current policy for how our agency receives information and safety related data from employees and customers. If necessary, we will develop additional means for receiving, investigating and reporting the results from investigations back to the initiator(s) – either to the person, groups of persons, or distributed agency- wide to ensure that future reporting is encouraged.

II. Communicating the Policy Throughout the Agency – 673.23(c)

El Metro is committed to ensuring the safety of our clientele, personnel and operations. Part of that commitment is developing an SMS and agency wide safety culture that reduces agency risk to the lowest level possible. The first step in developing a full SMS and agency wide safety culture is communicating our *LTMI Safety Management Policy (SMP)* (Appendix A, Table 8 shows the document name, file name, and date of adoption) throughout our agency. LTMI initiated the communication on August 4, 2020 to all Executive Leadership, Administration, All Department Leadership and Route Supervisors. LTMI will provide Instruction-Led Training to all employees so that they can be familiar with our SMP and where they can find it. It will be posted at all Department’s bulletin boards. Any rules or procedures will be provided to the Union.

The SMP and safety objectives are at the forefront of all communications. This communications strategy will include posting the policy in prominent work locations for existing employees and adding the policy statement to the on-boarding material for all new employees. In addition, the policy statement will become part of our agency’s regular safety meetings and other safety communications efforts. The policy will be signed by the Accountable Executive so that all employees know that the policy is supported by management.

Possible methods of communicating the LTMI Safety Management Policy to employees include, but are not limited to, the following:

- New Employee Orientation
- Driver's Training
- Internal Marketing Strategies
- Instructor-Led Training
- Safety Meetings
- El Metro Toolbox Talk (Safety Bulletin)
- Safety Planning Advisory Committee
- Staff Meetings
- Department Bulletin Boards
- Employee Handbooks
- Email
- Webex webinar

B. PTASP Development and Coordination with TxDOT – 673.11(d)

This PTASP has been developed by TxDOT on behalf of Laredo & Webb county Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, which is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the area, and LTMI in accordance with all requirements stated in 49 CFR Part 673 applicable to a small public transportation provider. TxDOT mailed a formal call for participation in a State sponsored PTASP development process to all Texas Section 5307 small bus transit agencies on January 15, 2019 and followed that call with a series of phone calls and additional correspondence. El Metro provided a letter to TxDOT opting into participation on March 15, 2019 and has been an active participant in the development of this plan through sharing existing documentation and participating in communication and coordination throughout the development of this plan. The El Metro documentation used in the development of this plan is presented in Table 8, in Appendix A.

In support of tracking performance on our Safety Assurance (SA) and Safety Promotion (SP) processes, El Metro conducted a safety culture survey from December 21, 2019 to December 30, 2019. This yearly survey is intended to help El Metro assess how well we communicate safety and safety performance information throughout our organization by gauging how safety is perceived and embraced by El Metro's administrators, supervisors, staff and contractors. The survey is designed to help us assess how well we are conveying information on hazards and safety risks relevant to employees' roles and responsibilities and informing employees of safety actions taken in response to reports submitted through our ESRP. Results from our most recent survey were analyzed and incorporated into the implementation strategies contained in this ASP.

Once the documents were reviewed, an on-site interview was conducted with El Metro on October 28, 2019 to gain a better understanding of the agency and the agency’s personnel. This understanding was necessary to ensure that the ASP was developed to fit El Metro’s size, operational characteristics, and capabilities.

The draft ASP was delivered to LTMI/El Metro in March 2020 for review and comment. Once review was completed and any adjustments made, the final was delivered to LTMI/El Metro for review and adoption.

C. PTASP Annual Review – 673.11(a)(5)

Per 49 U.S.C. 5329(d)(1)(D), this plan includes provisions for annual updates of the SMS. As part of El Metro’s ongoing commitment to fully implementing SMS and engaging our agency employees in developing a robust safety culture, El Metro will review the ASP and all supporting documentation annually. The review will be conducted as a precursor to certifying to FTA that the ASP is fully compliant with 49 CFR Part 673 and accurately reflects the agency’s current implementation status. Certification will be accomplished through El Metro’s annual Certifications and Assurances reporting to FTA.

The annual review will include the ASP and supporting documents (Standard Operating Procedures [SOP], Policies, Manuals, etc.) that are used to fully implement all the processes used to manage safety at El Metro. All changes will be noted (as discussed below) and the Accountable Executive will sign and date the title page of this document and provide documentation of approval by the Laredo Mass Transit Board whether by signature or by reference to resolution.

As processes are changed to fully implement SMS or new processes are developed, El Metro will track those changes for use in the annual review. In addition, Instructor-Led Training will be provided to all employees informing them of any changes. The annual ASP review will follow the update activities and schedule provided below in Table 2.

TABLE 2: ASP ANNUAL UPDATE TIMELINE

Task	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Review Agency Operations	→							
Review SMS Documentation	→							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety Management Policy; • Safety Risk Management; • Safety Assurance; and • Safety Promotion. 	→							
Deadline: Revision Requests				→				
Draft Revised ASP					→			
Draft delivered to Leadership for Comments						→		
Deadline: Comments on Revised ASP Draft							→	
Laredo Mass Transit Board (City Council) Approval								→
Report Targets to TxDOT and the Laredo Urban Transportation Study								→
Update Version No., Adopt & Certify Plan Compliance								★

As shown in Table 2, activities are as follows:

- July 1 to September 30, LTMI Leadership will review Agency Operations;
- July 1 to September 30, the Accountable Executive, key personnel, and the CSO will review SMS documentation;
- October 15, deadline for any revision requests;
- October 16 to November 15, draft revised ASP;
- December 29, deadline on comments for revised ASP draft;
- January 2 to January 31, Laredo Mass Transit Board adoption;
- February 1 to February 15, report Safety Performance Targets to TxDOT and the Laredo Webb County Area Metropolitan Planning Organization;
- February 16 to February 28, update version number, adopt and certify plan compliance in FTA's TrAMS.

The implementation of SMS is an ongoing and iterative process, and, as such, this PTASP is a working document. Therefore, a clear record of changes (Appendix B, Section B) and adjustments is kept in the PTASP for the benefit of safety plan performance management and to comply with Federal statutes.

D. PTASP Maintenance – 673.11(a)(2)(c)

El Metro will follow the annual review process outlined above and adjust this ASP as necessary to accurately reflect current implementation status. This plan will document the processes and activities related to SMS implementation as required under 49 CFR Part 673 Subpart C and will make necessary updates to this ASP as El Metro continues to develop and refine our SMS implementation.

E. PTASP Documentation and Recordkeeping – 673.31

At all times, El Metro will maintain documents that set forth our ASP, including those documents related to the implementation of El Metro's SMS and those documents related to the results from SMS processes and activities. El Metro will also maintain documents that are included in whole, or by reference, that describe the programs, policies, and procedures that our agency uses to carry out our ASP and all iterations of those documents. These documents will be made available upon request to the FTA, other Federal entity, or TxDOT. El Metro will maintain these documents for a minimum of three years after the documents are created. These additional supporting documents are cataloged in Appendix A and the list will be kept current as a part of the annual ASP review and update.

F. Safety Performance Measures – 673.11(a)(3)

The PTASP Final Rule, 49 CFR Part 673.11(a)(3), requires that all public transportation providers must develop an ASP to include safety performance targets (SPTs) based on the safety performance measures established under the NSP. The safety performance measures outlined in the NSP were developed to ensure that the measures can be applied to all modes of public transportation and are based on data currently being submitted to the NTD. The safety performance measures included in the NSP are fatalities, injuries, safety events, and system reliability (State of Good Repair as developed and tracked in the TAM Plan).

There are seven (7) SPTs that must be included in each ASP that are based on the four (4) performance measures in the NSP. These SPTs are presented in terms of total numbers reported and rate per 100,000 Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM). Each of the seven (7) is required to be reported by mode as presented in Table 3.

TABLE 3: NSP SAFETY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Safety Performance Measure	SPT	SPT
Fatalities	Total Number Reported	Rate Per 100,000 VRM
Injuries	Total Number Reported	Rate Per 100,000 VRM
Safety Events	Total Number Reported	Rate Per 100,000 VRM
System Reliability	Mean distance between major mechanical failure	

Table 4 presents El Metro’s reported baseline numbers for each of the performance measures. El Metro collected the past five (5) years of reported data to develop the rolling averages listed in the table.

TABLE 4: BASELINE 2020 SAFETY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Mode	Fatalities	Rate of Fatalities per 100,000 VRM	Injuries	Rate of Injuries per 100,000 VRM	Safety Events	Rate of Safety Events per 100,000 VRM	Mean Distance Between Major Mechanical Failure
Fixed Route (Bus)	0	0.00%	1.4	0.08%	1.8	0.11%	50,283
Demand Response	0	0.00%	0.2	0.38%	0.2	0.38%	60,138

*rate = total number x 100,000 /total vehicle revenue miles traveled

Table 5: 5-Year Safety Performance for El Metro by Mode of Service.

SPT Category For Fixed Route Service	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	5-Year Rolling Average	SPT 2021
Total Number of Fatalities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fatality Rate per 100,000 VRM*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Number of Injuries	1	1	1	0	3	1.2	1.2
Injury rate per 100,000 VRM*	0.06%	0.06%	0.06%	0.00%	0.17%	0.07%	0.07%
Total Number of Safety Events	2	2	1	0	4	1.8	1.8
Safety Event rate per 100,000 VRM*	0.12%	0.12%	0.06%	0.00%	0.23%	0.11%	0.11%
Total Number of Major Mechanical Failures	60	46	30	22	10	34	34
System Reliability (failures/VRM)	28,433	36,929	56,394	77,431	174,809	50,283	50,283
Annual VRM	1,705,954	1,698,756	1,691,818	1,703,477	1,748,090	1,709,619	1,709,619

SPT Category For Demand Response Service	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	5-Year Rolling Average	SPT 2021
Total Number of Fatalities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fatality Rate per 100,000 VRM*	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total Number of Injuries	0	0	0	0	1	0.2	0.2
Injury rate per 100,000 VRM*	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.58%	0.08%	0.08%
Total Number of Safety Events	0	0	0	0	2	0.4	0.4
Safety Event rate per 100,000 VRM*	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.17%	0.17%	0.17%
Total Number of Major Mechanical Failures	16	9	4	3	5	7	7
System Reliability (failures/VRM)	16,168	29,202	65,436	83,959	34,247	34,467	34,467
Annual VRM	258,691	262,814	261,744	251,877	171,234	241,272	241,272

Calendar Year 2020 Safety Performance Targets					
FIXED ROUTE			DEMAND RESPONSE		
Calendar Year 2020	SPT 2020	Target Met	Calendar Year 2020	SPT 2020	Target Met
0	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	0	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	3.8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
0.17	0.22	<input type="checkbox"/>	0.58	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
0.23	0.12	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.17	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	42.8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	9.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
174,809	40,164	<input type="checkbox"/>	34,247	28,152	<input type="checkbox"/>
1,748,090	1,719,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	171,234	259,000	<input type="checkbox"/>

While safety has always been a major component of our agency operation, the adoption of this ASP will result in changes across all aspects of the organization designed to improve safety outcomes. The SPTs set in Table 6 and Table 7 reflect an acknowledgment that SMS implementation will produce new information that will be needed to accurately set meaningful SPTs. We will set our 2021 targets at the current NTD reported five-year average as we continue the process of fully implementing our SMS and develop our targeted safety improvements. This will ensure that we do no worse than our baseline performance over the last five years. At the bottom of Table 5.5, Our 2020 Safety Performance Targets are provided. In the Fixed Route Mode, we met 3 targets and the VRMs exceeded our target due to our Circulators Routes that started July 19, 2019. In addition, Fixed Route safety events did not meet our initial target, we had an additional two events. Our Demand Response Safety Performance Targets met two targets. We had an increase of injuries by one event and the safety events increased by two events compared to our initial targets. Demand Response VRMs decreased due to the limited capacity, which resulted in less ridership due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

TABLE 6: FIXED ROUTE (BUS) SAFETY PERFORMANCE TARGETS

Mode	Baseline	Target
Fatalities	0	0
Rate of Fatalities per 100,00 VRM	0.00%	0.00%
Injuries	1.4	1.4
Rate of Injuries per 100,000 VRM	0.08%	0.08%
Safety Events	1.8	1.8
Rate of Safety Events per 100,000 VRM	0.11%	0.11%
Mean Distance Between Major Mechanical Failure	50,283	50,283

*rate = total number for the year x 100,000 /total vehicle revenue miles traveled

TABLE 7: DEMAND RESPONSE SAFETY PERFORMANCE TARGETS

Mode	Baseline	Target
Fatalities	0	0
Rate of Fatalities per 100,000 VRM	0.00%	0.00%
Injuries	0.2	0.2
Rate of Injuries per 100,000 VRM	0.38%	0.38%
Safety Events	0.2	0.2
Rate of Safety Events per 100,000 VRM	0.38%	0.38%
Mean Distance Between Major Mechanical Failure	60,318	60,318

*rate = total number for the year x 100,000/total vehicle revenue miles traveled

As part of the annual review of the ASP, El Metro will re-evaluate our SPTs and determine whether the SPTs need to be refined. As more data is collected as part of the SRM process discussed in this plan, El Metro may begin developing safety performance indicators to help inform management on safety related investments.

G. Safety Performance Target Coordination – 673.15(a)(b)

El Metro will make our SPTs available to TxDOT and the Laredo & Webb county Area Metropolitan Planning Organization to aid in those agencies' respective regional and long-range planning processes. To the maximum extent practicable, El Metro will coordinate with TxDOT and the Local MPO in the selection of State and MPO SPTs as documented in the Interagency Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (Appendix A).

Each year during the FTA Certifications and Assurances reporting process, El Metro will transmit any updates to our SPTs to both the Laredo & Webb county Area Metropolitan Planning Organization and TxDOT (unless those agencies specify another time in writing).

4. SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS – 673 SUBPART C

As noted previously, FTA has adopted SMS as the basis for improving safety across the public transportation industry. In compliance with the NSP, National Public Transportation Safety Plan, and 49 CFR Part 673, El Metro is adopting SMS as the basis for directing and managing safety and risk at our agency. El Metro has always viewed safety as a core business function. All levels of management and employees are accountable for appropriately identifying and effectively managing risk in all activities and operations in order to deliver improvements in safety and reduce risk to the lowest practical level during service delivery.

SMS is comprised of four basic components: SMP, SRM, SA, and SP. The SMP and SP are the enablers that provide structure and supporting activities that make SRM and SA possible and sustainable. The SRM and SA are the processes and activities for effectively managing safety as presented in Figure 2.

FIGURE 2: SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS



Implementing SMS at El Metro will be a major undertaking over the next several years. This ASP is the first step to putting in place a systematic approach to managing the agency's risk. El Metro has already taken several steps to implement SMS, such as developing this initial ASP and designating a CSO. During the first year of implementation, El Metro will identify SMS roles and responsibilities and key stakeholder groups, identify key staff to support implementation, and ensure the identified staff receive SMS training. El Metro will also develop a plan for implementing SMS, inform stakeholders about the ASP, and discuss our progress toward implementation with the City of Laredo, the Laredo Mass Transit Board and our agency's planning partners.

A. Safety Risk Management – 673.25

By adopting this ASP, El Metro is establishing the SRM process presented in Figure 3 for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing and mitigating safety risk in compliance with the requirements of 49 CFR Part 673.25. The SRM processes described in this section are designed to implement the El Metro SMS.

FIGURE 3: SAFETY RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS



The following teams will be used in the Safety Risk Assessment Process:

- **SMS Team:** The SMS Team consists of the Safety and Training Coordinator (if not available, the Route Supervisor with Added Safety Duties will assist) and the Chief Safety Officer.
- **Safety Risk Assessment Team:** The Safety Risk Assessment Team consists of the SMS Team and a qualified person from respective department.

LTMI's Chief Safety Officer and Safety and Training Coordinator, if available, supported by qualified personnel from respective department will review and address each employee report and safety event, ensuring that hazards and their consequences are appropriately identified and resolved through LTMI's SRM process and that reported deficiencies and non-compliance with rules or procedures are managed through LTMI's Safety Assurance process.

LTMI's Chief Safety Officer discusses actions taken to address reported safety conditions during the quarterly SMS Committee Meetings. Additionally, if the reporting employee provided his or her name during the reporting process, the Chief Safety Officer or designee will follow up directly with the employee and a Union representative to investigate the concern. The Chief Safety Officer will discuss any mitigations that are implemented to the employees through SPAC and Training/Safety Meetings.

LTMI uses the SRM process as a primary method to ensure the safety of our operations, passengers, employees, vehicles, and facilities. It is a process whereby hazards and their consequences are identified, assessed for potential safety risk, and resolved in a manner acceptable to LTMI's leadership. LTMI's SRM process allows us to carefully examine what could cause harm and determine whether we have taken sufficient precautions to minimize the harm, or if further mitigations are necessary.

LTMI's Safety Risk Assessment Team will work to identify hazards and consequences, assess safety risk of potential consequences, and mitigate safety risk. The results of LTMI's SRM process are documented in our Safety Risk Register and referenced materials. Hazards, risk assessments and mitigations will be presented and discussed in the quarterly SMS Committee meetings, Quarterly Safety Planning Advisory Committee meetings, and Operations meetings and Maintenance meetings.

LTM's SRM process applies to all elements of our system including our operations and maintenance; facilities and vehicles; and personnel recruitment, training, and supervision.

The SRM is focused on implementing and improving actionable strategies that El Metro has undertaken to identify, assess and mitigate risk. The creation of a Risk Register provides an accessible resource for documenting the SRM process, tracking the identified risks, and documenting the effectiveness of mitigation strategies in meeting defined safety objectives and performance measures. The draft Risk Register is presented in Figure 4.

FIGURE 4: DRAFT RISK REGISTER

EL METRO RISK REGISTER						
REF ID	HAZARD	TYPE	REPORTED BY	REPORTED TO	LIKELIHOOD (A, B, C, D, E)	SEVERITY (1, 2, 3, 4)

As the SRM process progresses through the steps of identifying what may be wrong, what could happen as a result, and what steps El Metro is taking to resolve the risk and mitigate the hazard, the CSO completes and publishes the various components of the Risk Register. These components include the use of safety hazard identification, safety risk assessment, and safety risk mitigation, as described in the following sections.

I. Safety Hazard Identification – 673.25(b)

El Metro has a Job Safety Checklist (Monthly Safety Walk Checklist) and a Safety Equipment Checklist, both of which are found in Section X of the *Safety Policy* (Appendix A). These checklists provide a means of regularly inspecting job sites and equipment to identify potential hazards before they result in negative safety outcomes. El Metro has a Hazard Communication Program located in Section 9 of the *General Rules, Regulations and Policies Employee Handbook*. This program is based on the requirements of the Occupational Safety Health Administration (OSHA)'s Hazard Communication Standard. In addition, El Metro's *Maintenance and Facility Plan* (Appendix A) details procedures for preventative maintenance for vehicles and facilities. Although the current procedures have been effective in achieving our safety objectives, to ensure compliance with 49 CFR Part 673, El Metro is working to implement the following expanded SRM hazard identification process.

The El Metro SRM hazard identification process is a forward-looking effort to identify safety hazards that could potentially result in negative safety outcomes. In the SRM process, a hazard is any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infra-structure of a public transportation system; or, damage to the environment.

The safety hazard identification process offers LTMI the ability to identify hazards and potential consequences in the operation and maintenance of our system. Hazards can be identified through a variety of sources, including:

- Through training and reporting procedures, LTMI ensures personnel can identify hazards and that each employee clearly understands that the employee has a responsibility to immediately report any safety hazards identified to the employee's supervisors. Continued training helps employees to develop and improve the skills needed to identify hazards.
- Employee hazard identification training (TAPTCO) coupled with the ESRP ensures that LTMI has full use of information from frontline employees for hazard identification.
- Upon receiving the hazard report, Supervisors/Leadership will communicate the identified hazard to the SMS Team and the CSO will register the hazard into the risk register for risk assessment, classification and mitigation.
- In carrying out the risk assessment, the CSO uses standard reporting forms (e.g. *Facility (Lessee) Inspection Work Sheet* (Appendix A) and *Fixed Route Trip Cards* to mitigate mechanical based safety hazards that are identified) and other reports completed on a routine basis by administrative, operations and maintenance. The LTMI *Employee Safety Reporting Program* (Appendix A) contains procedures for flagging and reporting hazards as a part of day-to-day operations using different avenues to report, anonymous or not.

- Supervisors are responsible for performing and documenting regular safety assessments, which include reporting and recommending methods to reduce identified hazards.
- LTMI uses incident reports and records to determine specific areas of training that need to be covered with employees to ensure safety hazard identification is continually improved, and thus ensure that hazards are identified before an event recurrence.
- Incident reports are also analyzed by the SMS team (Safety & Training Coordinator and Chief Safety Officer) to identify any recurring patterns or themes that would help to identify underlying hazards and root causes of the event that can be mitigated to prevent recurrence.
- The SMS Team uses the following forms for hazard identification and investigation for the purpose of finding causal factors and documenting the mitigations and the mitigation monitoring:
 - From the *ESRP*, the *LTMI_Employee_Safety_Hazard_Reporting_Form* (Appendix A);
 - From the LTMI Workers' Compensation Policy and Procedure (Appendix A), the LTMI Employee Report of Injury 7 2020 and the LTMI Responding Supervisor's Investigation Report of Occupational Injury Form 7 2020;
 - From the *LTMI Accident and Incident Reporting and Investigation Standard Operating Procedures SOP-AIRIP-201* (Appendix A), the *LTMI_Claims_Notice_5 2020*, *BUS_ACCIDENT_PASSENGER_MANIFEST_5 2017*, *SUPERVISORS_REPORT_OF_INCIDENT 7 2020*, *SUPERVISOR_VEHICLE_ACCIDENT_REPORT 5 2020*, *LTMI_HAZARD_INVESTIGATOR_FORM_4 2020* and the *LTMI_ACTION_PLAN_4 2020*;
 - for Mitigation Monitoring, the *DEMAND_RESPONSE_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020* (Appendix A), *FIXED_ROUTE_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020* (Appendix A), *TRANSIT_CENTER_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020* (Appendix A), *MAINTENANCE_DEPARTMENT_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020* and the *LTMI_MITIGATION_FOLLOW_UP_FORM 8.2020* (Appendix A).
- If a hazard is such that an employee would be reluctant to report the information due to perceived negative consequences (e.g. disciplinary action), alternative, anonymous reporting mechanisms are available through an anonymous suggestion box outside Operations Department Offices, or anonymous online reporting form www.elmetrotransit.com , or other secure mechanism.
- To increase the safety knowledge of our agency, the CSO, key safety personnel, SPAC Committee and qualified personnel from the respective department are also encouraged to participate in available professional development activities and peer-to-peer exchanges as a source of expertise and information on lessons learned and best practices in hazard identification.

Other sources for hazard identification include:

- ESRP;
- Review of vehicle camera footage;
- Review of monthly performance data and safety performance targets;
- Observations from supervisors;
- Maintenance reports;
- Comments from customers, passengers, and third parties, including LTMI's transit insurance pool and vendors;
- First Group America Reports;
- Safety Planning Advisory Committee meetings, SMS Committee meetings, Operations meetings and Maintenance meetings;
- Results of audits and inspections of vehicles and facilities;
- Results of training assessments;
- Investigations into safety events, incidents, and occurrences
- Inspections of personnel job performance, vehicles, facilities and other data
- Safety trend analysis on data currently collected
- Training and evaluation records
- Internal safety audits

External sources of hazard information could include:

- FTA and other federal or state authorities;
- Reports from the public;
- Safety bulletins from manufacturers or industry associations.

When a safety concern is observed by LTMI's management or supervisory personnel, whatever the source, it is reported to LTMI's SMS Team. Procedures for reporting hazards to LTMI's SMS Team are reviewed yearly and recommendations are made by the LTMI Leadership Committee. LTMI's SMS Team also receives employee reports from the ESRP, customer comments related to safety, internal/external inspections and safety event reports. LTMI's Chief Safety Officer reviews these sources for hazards and documents them in LTMI's Safety Risk Register. LTMI's Chief Safety Officer also may enter hazards into the Safety Risk Register based on their review of LTMI's operations and maintenance, the results of audits and observations, and information received from FTA and other oversight authorities, as well as the National Transportation Safety Board. LTMI's Chief Safety Officer may conduct further analyses of hazards and consequences entered into the Safety Risk Register to collect information and identify additional consequences and to inform which hazards should be prioritized for safety risk assessment. In following up on identified hazards, LTMI's SMS Team may:

- Reach out to the reporting party, if available, to gather all known information about the reported hazard;
- Conduct a walkthrough of the affected area, assessing the possible hazardous condition, generating visual documentation (photographs and/or video), and taking any measurements deemed necessary;
- Conduct interviews with employees in the area to gather potentially relevant information on the reported hazard;
- Review any documentation associated with the hazard (records, reports, procedures, inspections, technical documents, etc.);
- Contact other departments that may have association with or technical knowledge relevant to the reported hazard;
- Review any past reported hazards of a similar nature; and
- Evaluate tasks and/or processes associated with the reported hazard.

LTMI's SMS Team will then prepare an agenda to discuss identified hazards and consequences with the Safety Planning Advisory Committee during Quarterly meetings. This agenda may include additional background on the hazards and consequences, such as the results of trend analysis, vehicle camera footage, vendor documentation, reports and observations, or information supplied by FTA or other oversight authorities.

Any identified hazard that poses a real and immediate threat to life, property, or the environment must immediately be brought to the attention of the Accountable Executive and addressed through the SRM process for safety risk assessment and mitigation. This means that the Chief Safety Officer believes immediate intervention is necessary to preserve life, prevent major property destruction, or avoid harm to the environment that would constitute a violation of Environmental Protection Agency or Any State environmental protection standards. Otherwise, the Safety Risk Assessment Team will prioritize hazards for further SRM activity.

In addition to identifying the hazard, the hazard identification process also classifies the hazard by type (organizational, technical or environmental) to assist the CSO in identifying the optimal combination of departmental leadership and qualified personnel from the respective department to select in assembling the safety risk assessment team.

The various hazard types can also be categorized by subcategory for each type. For example, organizational hazards can be subcategorized into resourcing, procedural, training or supervisory hazards. Each of the subcategories implies different types of mitigation strategies and potentially affect overall agency resources through varying costs for implementation. Technical hazards can be subcategorized into operational, maintenance, design and equipment. Additionally, environmental hazards can be subcategorized into weather and natural, which is always a factor for every operation.

II. Safety Risk Assessment – 673.25(c)

As part of the new SRM process, El Metro has developed methods to assess the likelihood and severity of the consequences of identified hazards, and prioritizes the hazards based on the safety risk. The process continues the use of the Risk Register described in the previous section to address the next two components.

To accurately assess a risk, El Metro may need to perform an investigation. El Metro currently investigates accidents or crashes in accordance to the *LTM/ Employee Accident / Incident Investigation and Reporting Standard Operating Procedures SOP-AIIP-201* (Appendix A) but will need to develop a full investigation procedure to inform the SRM process. The investigation procedure will start with *LTM/ Employee Accident / Incident Investigation and Reporting Standard Operating Procedures SOP-AIIP-201* and the framework found in the *General Rules, Regulations and Policies Employee Handbook* and will be developed to cover all risk assessment. Once fully developed, the document will become the Investigation SOP. The SOP will include accident investigation procedures as well as risk investigation procedures. These procedures will be used to investigate risks identified from multiple sources including the ESRP.

Safety risk is based on an assessment of the likelihood of a potential consequence and the potential severity of the consequences in terms of resulting harm or damage. The risk assessment also considers any previous mitigation efforts and the effectiveness of those efforts. The results of the assessment are used to populate the sixth and seventh components of the Risk Register as presented in Figure 5.

FIGURE 5: SAFETY RISK ASSESSMENT STEPS IN POPULATING THE RISK REGISTER

EL METRO RISK REGISTER						
REF ID	HAZARD	TYPE	REPORTED BY	REPORTED TO	LIKELIHOOD (A, B, C, D, E)	SEVERITY (1, 2, 3, 4)

LTMI assesses safety risk associated with identified safety hazards using its safety risk assessment process. This includes an assessment of the likelihood and severity of the consequences of hazards, including existing mitigations, and prioritizing hazards based on safety risk.

The LTMI Safety Risk Assessment Team assess prioritized hazards using LTMI’s Safety Risk Matrix (Appendix). This matrix expresses assessed risk as a combination of one severity category and one likelihood level, also referred to as a *hazard rating*. For example, a risk may be assessed as “1A” or the combination of a Catastrophic (1) severity category and a Frequent (A) probability level.

Figure 6. Safety Risk Assessment Matrices

Safety Risk Assessment Matrix		
Severity Categories		
Description	Severity Category	Criteria
Critical	1	<p>Could result in one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Death • Multiple serious injuries requiring hospitalization • Irreversible environmental impact • Accident or Incident with a Monetary loss equal to or exceeding \$10,000.00
High	2	<p>Could result in one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serious injury requiring hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within 7 days from the date of event. • Reversible significant environmental impact • Accident or Incident with a Monetary loss equal to or exceeding \$5,000.00 but not exceeding 10,000
Medium	3	<p>Could result in one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Injury requiring immediate transport away from the scene for medical attention (1 or more persons) that may result in one (1) or more lost work day(s) • Reversible moderate environmental impact • Accident or Incident with a Monetary loss equal to or exceeding \$500 but not exceeding \$5,000.00
Low	4	<p>Could result in one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Injury requiring first aid • Minimal environmental impact • Accident or Incident with a Monetary loss less than \$500

Likelihood Levels			
Description	Level	Individual item	System or Vehicle Fleet
Frequent	A	Likely to occur often in the life of an item.	Continuously experienced. Potential consequence may be experienced greater than or equal to once in 10,833 to 71,624 vehicle revenue miles (VRM).
Probable	B	Will occur several times in the life of an item.	Will occur frequently. Potential consequence may be experienced less than twice 21,667 to 143,250 VRM.
Occasional	C	Likely to occur sometime in the life of an item.	Will occur several times. Potential consequence may be experienced once per 65,001 to 429,750 VRM.
Remote	D	Unlikely, but possible to occur in the life of an item.	Unlikely but can reasonably be expected to occur. Potential consequence may be experienced once per 130,000 to 859,500 VRM.
Improbable	E	So unlikely, it can be assumed occurrences may not be experienced in the life of an item.	Unlikely to occur, but possible. Potential consequence may be experienced less than once per 260,000 to 1,719,000 VRM.

Risk Assessment Matrix				
Severity Likelihood	Critical 1	High 2	Medium 3	Low 4
Frequent - A	HIGH - 1A	HIGH - 2A	HIGH - 3A	MEDIUM - 4A
Probable - B	HIGH - 1B	HIGH - 2B	MEDIUM - 3B	MEDIUM - 4B
Occasional - C	HIGH - 1C	MEDIUM - 2C	MEDIUM - 3C	LOW - 4C
Remote - D	MEDIUM - 1D	MEDIUM - 2D	LOW - 3D	LOW - 4D
Improbable - E	LOW - 1E	LOW - 2E	LOW - 3E	LOW - 4E

Figure 7. Safety Risk Acceptance Actions.

High = Unacceptable	Risk intolerable, requires action from LTMI Accountable Executive and Chief Safety Officer to mitigate the safety risk immediately,
Medium = Review	Risk reduction/mitigation must be considered. Where risk reduction/mitigation is not practical or viable, acceptance by Accountable Executive is required.
Low = Acceptable	Risk is considered acceptable but would be reviewed if reoccurs.

This matrix also categorizes combined risks into levels, High, Medium, or Low, based on the likelihood of occurrence and severity of the outcome.

For purposes of accepting risk:

- “High” hazard ratings will be considered unacceptable and require action from LTMI Accountable Executive and Chief Safety Officer to mitigate the safety risk immediately,
- “Medium” hazard ratings will be considered undesirable and require LTMI’s SMS Team and Safety Risk Assessment Team to make a decision regarding their acceptability. Where risk reduction/mitigation is not practical or viable, acceptance by Accountable Executive is required., and
- “Low” hazard ratings may be accepted by the Chief Safety Officer without additional review but monitored by the respective department.
- Using a categorization of High, Medium, or Low allows for hazards to be prioritized for mitigation based on their associated safety risk.

Once sufficient information has been obtained, the Chief Safety Officer will facilitate completion of relevant sections of the Safety Risk Register, using the LTMI Safety Risk Assessment Matrix. The Safety Risk Assessment Team may seek support from the SMS Committee in obtaining additional information to support the safety risk assessment. The Chief Safety Officer will document the safety risk assessment, including hazard rating and mitigation options for each assessed safety hazard in the Safety Risk Register.

The SMS Team will schedule safety risk assessment activities with the qualified person from the respective department and prepare a Safety Risk Assessment Package. The SMS Team will present the Safety Risk Assessment Package in the SMS Committee meeting, Operations meeting, Maintenance meeting and Safety Planning Advisory Committee meeting. This package is distributed at least one week (Approx.) in advance of the meetings. During the meeting, the SMS Team reviews the hazard and its consequence(s) and reviews available information distributed in the Safety Risk Assessment Package on severity and likelihood.

The Risk Assessment Matrix is an important tool. If a risk is assessed and falls within one of the red zones, the risk is determined to be unacceptable under existing circumstances. This determination means that management must act to mitigate the situation. This is the point in the process when Safety Risk Mitigations are developed. If the risk is assessed and falls within one of the yellow zones, the risk is determined to be acceptable, but monitoring is necessary. If the risk falls within one of the green zones, the risk is acceptable under the existing circumstances.

The Chief Safety Officer will maintain on file all Safety Committee agendas, Safety Risk Assessment Packages, additional information collection, and completed Safety Risk Register sections for a period of three years from the date of generation.

III. Safety Risk Mitigation – 673.25(d)

The El Metro *Safety Policy* (Appendix A) contains a list of Basic Safety Rules that help to mitigate potential risks that may be present in the day-to-day operations of the agency. This list includes rules such as:

- All personnel will be required to attend safety meetings;
- Warning signs, barricades, and tags will be used to the fullest extent and shall be obeyed; and
- Horseplay on the jobsite is strictly prohibited.

El Metro also has several SOPs/policies/programs in place to help mitigate and prevent potential risks. These include, but are not limited to:

- Aerial Platform and Scissor Lift SOP;
- Powered Industrial Truck SOP;
- Electrical Safety Program; and
- Personal Protective Equipment SOP.

LTMI's Accountable Executive and Chief Safety Officer review current methods of safety risk mitigation and establish methods or procedures to mitigate or eliminate safety risk associated with specific hazards based on recommendations from the SMS Committee and Safety Planning Advisory Committee. LTMI can reduce safety risk by reducing the likelihood and/or severity of potential consequences of hazards.

Prioritization of safety risk mitigations is based on the results of safety risk assessments. LTMI's Chief Safety Officer tracks and updates safety risk mitigation information in the Safety Risk Register and makes the Register available to the SMS Committee and Safety Planning Advisory Committee during meetings and to LTMI staff, employees and Contractors upon request.

In the Safety Risk Register, LTMI's Chief Safety Officer will also document any specific measures or activities, such as reviews, observations or audits that will be conducted to monitor the effectiveness of mitigations once implemented (Follow up).

Over the next year, LTMI will provide Instruction-Led Training on Safety Risk Mitigation Process and Procedures to all key personnel and Department Heads.

In addition, as part of the *Employee Safety Reporting Program* (Appendix A), El Metro management and supervisors review all injury and illness documentation annually to analyze occurrences, identify trends, and plan courses of corrective action.

Upon completion of the risk assessment, the CSO, Safety and Training Coordinator and subject matter experts continue populating the Risk Register by identifying mitigations or strategies necessary to reduce the likelihood and/or severity of the consequences. The goal of this step is to avoid or eliminate the hazard or, when elimination is not likely or feasible, to reduce the assessed risk rating to an acceptable level. However, mitigations do not typically eliminate the risk entirely.

To accomplish this objective, the CSO, through the safety risk management team, works with qualified personnel from the respective department or section to which the risk applies. The risk management team then conducts a brainstorming exercise to elicit feedback from staff and supervisors with the highest level of expertise in the components of the hazard.

Documented risk resolution and hazard mitigation activities from previous Risk Register entries and the resolution's documented level of success at achieving the desired safety objectives may also be reviewed and considered in the process. If the hazard is external (e.g., roadway construction by an outside agency) information and input from external actors or experts may also be sought to take advantage of all reasonably available resources and avoid any unintended consequences.

Once a mitigation strategy is selected and adopted, the strategy is assigned to an appropriate staff member or team for implementation. The assigned personnel and the personnel's specific responsibilities are entered into the Risk Register. Among the responsibilities of the mitigation team leader is the documentation of the mitigation effort, including whether the mitigation was carried out as designed and whether the intended safety objectives were achieved. This information is recorded in the Risk Register for use in subsequent SA activities and to monitor the effectiveness of the SRM program.

B. Safety Assurance – 673.27 (a)

Safety Assurance means processes within the El Metro Safety Management System that function to ensure a) the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation, and b) El Metro meets or exceeds our safety objectives through the collection, measurement, analysis and assessment of information.

SA helps to ensure early identification of potential safety issues. SA also ensures that safeguards are in place and are effective in meeting critical El Metro safety objectives and contribute towards SPTs.

Through our Safety Assurance process, LTMI:

- Evaluates our compliance with operations and maintenance procedures to determine whether our existing rules and procedures are sufficient to control our safety risk;

- Assesses the effectiveness of safety risk mitigations to make sure the mitigations are appropriate and are implemented as intended;
- Investigates safety events to identify causal factors; and
- Analyzes information from safety reporting, including data about safety failures, defects, or conditions.

LTMI has many processes in place to monitor its entire transit system for compliance with operations and maintenance procedures, including:

- Safety audits,
- Informal inspections,
- Regular review of onboard camera footage from accident to assess drivers and specific incidents,
- Safety surveys,
- ESRP,
- Investigation of safety events,
- Daily data gathering and monitoring of data related to the delivery of service, and
- Regular vehicle inspections and preventative maintenance.

Results from the above processes are compared against recent performance trends quarterly by the SMS Committee to determine where action needs to be taken. Upon approval by the SMS Committee, the SMS Team will enter any identified non-compliant or ineffective activities, including mitigations, back into the SRM process for re-evaluation.

LTMI monitors safety risk mitigations to determine if they have been implemented and are effective, appropriate, and working as intended. The Chief Safety Officer maintains a list of safety risk mitigations in the Safety Risk Register. The mechanism for monitoring safety risk mitigations varies depending on the mitigation.

The Chief Safety Officer establishes one or more mechanisms for monitoring safety risk mitigations as part of the mitigation implementation process and assigns monitoring activities to the appropriate director, manager, or supervisor. These monitoring mechanisms may include tracking a specific metric on daily, weekly, or monthly logs or reports; conducting job performance observations; or other activities (Form used for performance monitoring attached in Appendix B). The Chief Safety Officer will attempt to make use of existing LTMI processes and activities before assigning new information collection activities.

LTMI's SMS Team and Safety Planning Advisory Committee review the performance of individual safety risk mitigations during bimonthly Safety Planning Advisory Committee meetings, based on the reporting schedule determined for each mitigation, and determine if a specific safety risk mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended. If the mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended, the SMS Team and Safety Planning Advisory Committee will propose a course of action to modify the mitigation or take other action to manage the safety risk. The Chief Safety Officer will approve or modify this proposed course of action and oversee its execution.

LTMI's SMS Team also monitor LTMI's operations on a large scale to identify mitigations that may be ineffective, inappropriate, or not implemented as intended by:

- Reviewing results from accident, incident, and occurrence investigations;
- Monitoring employee safety reporting;
- Reviewing results of internal safety audits and inspections; and
- Analyzing operational and safety data to identify emerging safety concerns.

The Chief Safety Officer works with the Safety and Training Coordinator and Accountable Executive to carry out and document all monitoring activities.

LTMI maintains documented procedures for conducting safety investigations of events (accidents, incidents, and occurrences, as defined by FTA) to find causal and contributing factors and review the existing mitigations in place at the time of the event (see LTMI Safety Event Reporting and Investigation Procedures Manual for specific procedures for Reporting and conducting safety investigations). These procedures also reflect all traffic safety reporting and investigation requirements established by Texas Department of Transportation.

The SMS Team maintains all documentation of LTMI's investigation policies, processes, forms, checklists, activities, and results. As detailed in LTMI's procedures, an investigation report is prepared and sent to the SMS Team for integration into their analysis of the event.

LTMI's SMS Team will determine whether:

- The accident was preventable or non-preventable;
- Personnel require discipline or retraining;
- The causal factor(s) indicate(s) that a safety hazard contributed to or was present during the event; and
- The accident appears to involve underlying organizational causal factors beyond just individual employee behavior.

The SMS Team routinely review safety data captured in employee safety reports, safety meeting minutes, customer complaints, and other safety communication channels. When necessary, the SMS Team ensure that the concerns are investigated or analyzed through LTMI's SRM process.

The SMS Team also review internal and external reviews, including audits and assessments, with findings concerning LTMI's safety performance, compliance with operations and maintenance procedures, or the effectiveness of safety risk mitigations.

I. Safety Performance Monitoring and Measuring – 673.27 (b)

As the first step in the El Metro SA program, El Metro collects and monitors data on safety performance indicators through a variety of mechanisms described in the following sections. Safety performance indicators can provide early warning signs about safety risks. El Metro currently relies primarily on lagging indicators representing negative safety outcomes that should be avoided or mitigated in the future. However, initiatives are underway to adopt a more robust set of leading indicators that monitor conditions that are likely to contribute to negative outcomes in the future. In addition to the day-to-day monitoring and investigation procedures detailed below, El Metro will review and document the safety performance monitoring and measuring processes as part of the annual update of this ASP.

MONITORING COMPLIANCE AND SUFFICIENCY OF PROCEDURES – 673.27 (B)(1)

El Metro monitors our system for personnel compliance with operations and maintenance procedures and also monitors these procedures for sufficiency in meeting safety objectives. A list of documents describing the safety related operations and maintenance procedures cited in this ASP is provided in Appendix A of this document.

Supervisors monitor employee compliance with El Metro standard operating procedures through direct observation and review of information from internal reporting systems such as the *Employee Safety Reporting Program* and *Customer Comment/Complaint Procedure and Record Retention Policy* (Appendix A) from both employees and customers.

El Metro addresses non-compliance with standard procedures for operations and maintenance activities through a variety of actions, including revision to training materials and delivery of employee and supervisor training if the non-compliance is systemic. If the non-compliance is situational, then activities may include supplemental individualized training, coaching, and heightened management oversight, among other remedies.

Sometimes personnel are fully complying with the procedures, but the operations and maintenance procedures are inadequate and pose the risk of negative safety outcomes. In this case, the cognizant person submits the deficiency or description of the inadequate procedures to the SRM process. Through the SRM process, the SRM team will then evaluate and analyze the potential organizational hazard and assign the identified hazard for mitigation and resolution, as appropriate. The SRM team will also conduct periodic self-evaluation and mitigation of any identified deficiencies in the SRM process itself.

MONITORING OPERATIONS – 673.27(B)(2)

Department Heads are required to monitor investigation reports of safety events and SRM resolution reports to monitor the department's operations to identify any safety risk mitigations that may be ineffective, inappropriate, or not implemented as intended. If it is determined that the safety risk mitigation did not bring the risk to an acceptable level or otherwise failed to meet safety objectives, then the Department Head resubmits the safety risk/hazard to the SRM process. The CSO will work with the Safety & Training Coordinator and qualified personnel from the respective department to re-analyze the hazard and consequences and identify additional mitigation or alternative approaches to implementing the mitigation.

II. Safety Event Investigation – 673.27(B)(3)

El Metro currently conducts investigations of safety events. From a SA perspective, the objective of the investigation is to identify causal factors of the event and to identify actionable strategies that El Metro can employ to address any identifiable organizational, technical or environmental hazard at the root cause of the safety event.

El Metro uses the After-Accident Investigation procedure located in the *General Rules, Regulations and Policies Employee Handbook*, the *LTMi Employee Accident / Incident Investigation and Reporting Standard Operating Procedures SOP-AIIP-201* and the *LTMi Workers' Compensation Policy and Procedure* to identify safety and operational risks based on individual assets.

Safety Event Investigations that seek to identify and document the root cause of an accident or other safety event are a critical component of the SA process because they are a primary resource for the collection, measurement, analysis and assessment of information. El Metro gathers a variety of information for identifying and documenting root causes of accidents and incidents, including but not limited to:

- Stop, identify yourself and Radio Dispatch immediately giving them the location and your bus number. (A Supervisor or Dispatcher will call the Police and Ambulance when necessary). Employees are required to report all accidents/incidents within five (5) minutes after the occurrence. Dispatch will notify the Safety and Training Coordinator and a Route Supervisor of the accident/incident.
- Assist the injured person, but do not move them except to avoid danger. Use extreme care and protect yourself avoiding contact with bodily fluids.
- Secure full names, addresses, and telephone numbers of:
 - a. Passengers
 - b. Other driver
 - c. Injured persons
 - d. Witnesses
- Make a sketch showing names of streets, positions of cars, and direction of traffic at the time of the accident.
- Do not argue, accuse, nor give statements to the media or bystanders.

- Do not discuss the mechanical condition of the vehicle with anyone except Company Supervisory personnel
- Refer the operator for required drug and alcohol testing in compliance with 49 CFR § 655.44 Post-accident testing, if the safety event meets the definition of accident in 49 CFR § 655.44.
- Dispatcher on duty or Route Supervisor will give the Operator an incident report to complete before the Operator leaves that day. Dispatcher will transmit the Operator's report to the Safety & Training Coordinator.
- The CSO and the Safety & Training Coordinator, working with qualified personnel from the respective department, evaluate the incident reports and other available information to determine the root cause of the accident/event. Follow up with driver or other cognizant parties may be necessary to elicit additional information.
- The CSO identifies any hazards noted in the incident report and refers those hazards to the SRM process.

After the accident has been cleared up by law enforcement, an employee shall not leave the scene until released by Dispatcher or Supervisor. It is extremely important that the employee creates a complete, specific, and legible report. The employee must complete and submit the report of the accident by the end of his/her work schedule. A complete, specific, and legible report must be made for every accident or incident, however slight, which occurs on or near a company vehicle in case of a passenger or pedestrian accident; even if the person involved declines to give his name or states that he is unhurt, a full report should be made.

The El Metro *Drug and Alcohol Policy* (Appendix A) requires that any accidents resulting in a fatality will subject any involved El Metro employee to post-accident drug and alcohol testing. The policy also provides the conditions under which employees will be subject to post-accident drug and alcohol testing following an accident resulting in no fatalities.

In addition, the *General Rules, Regulations and Policies Employee Handbook* contains procedures for how to react to and report other more specific incidents, such as hit and runs, fires on vehicles, and disabled vehicles.

MONITORING INTERNAL SAFETY REPORTING PROGRAMS – 673.27(B)(4)

As a primary part of the internal safety reporting program, our agency monitors information reported through the ESRP. When a report originating through the complaint process documents a safety hazard, the supervisor submits the hazards identified through the internal reporting process, including previous mitigation in place at the time of the safety event. The supervisor submits the hazard report to the SRM process to be analyzed, evaluated and, if appropriate, assigned for mitigation/resolution.

OTHER SAFETY ASSURANCE INITIATIVES

Because leading indicators can be more useful for safety performance monitoring and measurement than lagging indicators, El Metro is undertaking efforts to implement processes to identify and monitor more leading indicators or conditions that have the potential to become or contribute to negative safety outcomes. This may include trend analysis of environmental conditions through monitoring National Weather Service data; monitoring trends toward or away from meeting the identified SPTs; or other indicators as appropriate.

C. Safety Promotion – 673.29

Management support is essential to developing and implementing SMS. SP includes all aspects of how, why, when and to whom management communicates safety related topics. SP also includes when and how training is provided. The following sections outline both the safety competencies and training that El Metro will implement and how safety related information will be communicated.

I. Safety Competencies and Training – 673.29(a)

El Metro provides comprehensive training to all employees regarding each employee's job duties and general responsibilities. This training includes safety responsibilities related to the employee's position. In addition, regular Operations and Maintenance safety meetings are held to ensure that safety related information is relayed to the key members of our agency's safety processes.

As part of SMS implementation, El Metro will be conducting the following activities:

- Conduct a thorough review of all current general staff categories (administrative, driver, supervisor, mechanic, maintenance, etc.) and the respective staff safety related responsibilities.
- Assess the training requirements spelled out in 49 CFR Part 672 and the various courses required for different positions. (El Metro is not subject to the requirements under 49 CFR Part 672 but will review the training requirements to understand what training is being required of other larger agencies in the event these trainings might be useful).
- Assess the training material available on the FTA PTASP Technical Assistance Center website.
- Review other training material available from industry sources such as the Community Transportation Association of America and the American Public Transportation Association websites.
- Develop a set of competencies and trainings required to meet the safety related activities for each general staff category.
- Develop expectations for ongoing safety training and safety meeting attendance.
- Develop a training matrix to track progress on individuals and groups within the organization.

- Adjust job notices associated with general staff categories to ensure that new personnel understand the safety related competencies and training needs and the safety related responsibilities of the job.
- Include refresher training in all trainings and apply it to agency personnel and contractors.

LTMI SMS implementation is important and the CSO is the resource person for providing a corporate perspective on LTMI's approach to safety management. OSHA, FTA, TXDOT and SMS training will be provided to key personnel and all Department Heads. Courses, conferences or training seminars will include but are not limited to:

- Defensive driver training
- Behind-the-wheel training
- On-the-job training for maintenance functions
- Occupational safety training
- Informal staff meetings
- Webinars
- Formal certification from accredited institutions
- Other forms of training required for employees and contractors designated as "directly responsible for safety"

Safety Management training topics may include:

- **Initial Safety Training for All Staff**
 - Basic principles of safety management including the integrated nature of SMS, risk management, safety culture, etc.
 - Corporate safety goals and objectives, safety policy, and safety standards
 - Importance of complying with the safety policy and SMS procedures, and the approach to disciplinary actions for different safety issues
 - Organizational structure, roles and responsibilities of staff in relation to safety
 - Transit agency's safety record, including areas of systemic weakness
 - Requirements for ongoing internal assessment of organization safety performance (e.g. employee surveys, safety audits, and assessments)
 - Reporting accidents, incidents, and perceived hazards
 - Lines of communication for safety managers
 - Feedback and communication methods for the dissemination of safety information
 - Safety promotion and information dissemination

- **Safety Training for Operations and Maintenance Personnel**
 - Unique hazards facing operational personnel
 - Seasonal safety hazards and procedures (e.g. winter operations)
 - Procedures for hazard reporting
 - Procedures for reporting safety events (accidents and incidents)
 - Emergency procedures

- **Safety Training for Key Personnel and Department Heads**
 - Principles of the SMS
 - Management responsibilities and accountabilities for safety
 - Legal issues (e.g. liability)

- **Training for the Chief Safety Officer and Safety & Training Coordinator**
 - Familiarization with different transit modes, types of operation, routes, etc.
 - Understanding the role of human performance in safety event causation and prevention
 - Operation of the SMS
 - Investigating safety events
 - Crisis management and emergency response planning
 - Safety promotion
 - Communication skills
 - Performing safety audits and assessments
 - Monitoring safety performance
 - National Transit Database (NTD) safety event reporting requirements

II. Safety Communication – 673.29(b)

LTMI's SMS Team coordinate LTMI's safety communication activities for the SMS. LTMI's Communication activities focus on the three categories of communication activity established in 49 CFR Part 673 (Part 673):

- **Communicating safety and safety performance information throughout the agency:** LTMI communicates information on safety and safety performance in all Safety Planning Advisory Committee meetings and during quarterly SMS Committee Meetings. LTMI also has a permanent agenda item in all Operations and Maintenance Meetings dedicated to safety. Information typically conveyed during these meetings includes safety performance statistics, lessons learned from recent occurrences, upcoming events that may impact LTMI's service or safety performance, and updates regarding SMS implementation. LTMI also requests information from drivers during these meetings, which is recorded in meeting minutes. Finally, LTMI's Safety and Training Coordinator posts safety bulletins and flyers on the bulletin boards located in all bus operator and maintenance technician break rooms, advertising safety messages and promoting awareness of safety issues.

- **Communicating information on hazards and safety risks relevant to employees' roles and responsibilities throughout the agency:** As part of new-hire training, LTMI distributes all respective safety policies and procedures, to all employees. LTMI provides training on these policies and procedures and discusses them during safety talks between Administration, Supervisors, Operators and Maintenance. For newly emerging issues or safety events at the agency, LTMI's Chief Safety Officer issues bulletins or messages to employees that are reinforced by supervisors in using Be Safe or group discussions with employees.
- **Informing employees of safety actions taken in response to reports submitted through the ESRP:** LTMI provides targeted communications to inform employees of safety actions taken in response to reports submitted through the ESRP, including handouts and flyers, safety talks, updates to bulletin boards, Safety Planning Advisory Committee meetings, safety meetings, Be Safe and one-on-one discussions between employees and supervisors.

El Metro regularly communicates safety and safety performance information throughout our agency's organization that, at a minimum, conveys information on hazards and safety risks relevant to employees' roles and responsibilities and informs employees of safety actions taken in response to reports submitted through the ESRP (noted in Section 3.A.I) or other means.

Over the next year, LTMI will have a staff meeting to discuss Safety Communication Processes and Methods.

Methods of communication are:

- New Employee Orientation;
- Driver's Training;
- Internal Marketing Strategies;
- Instructor-Led Training;
- Safety Meetings;
- El Metro Toolbox Talk (Safety Bulletin);
- Safety Planning Advisory Committee;
- Staff Meetings;
- Department bulletin boards;
- Employee handbooks;
- Safety plans and strategies are communicated throughout the organization to all personnel; and
- Significant events and investigation outcomes associated with the organization are communicated to all personnel, including contracted organizations where appropriate.

Safety plans and strategies are communicated throughout the organization to all personnel. Organizational roles and duties in SMS are presented and explained to SMS key staff. Significant events and investigation outcomes associated with the LTMI are communicated to all personnel, contracted organizations and the Union where appropriate.

El Metro reports any safety related information to the Laredo Mass Transit Board at their regular meetings and will begin including safety performance information. In addition, El Metro holds regularly scheduled meetings with drivers to ensure that any safety related information is passed along that would affect the execution of the drivers' duties. El Metro also posts safety related and other pertinent information in a common room for all employees at all worksites and holds monthly safety and training meetings with all employees.

El Metro will begin systematically collecting, cataloging, and, where appropriate, analyzing and reporting safety and performance information to all staff. To determine what information should be reported, how the information should be reported and to whom, El Metro will answer the following questions:

- What information does this individual need to do their job?
- How can we ensure the individual understands what is communicated?
- How can we ensure the individual understands what action must be taken as a result of the information?
- How can we ensure the information is accurate and kept up-to-date?
- Are there any privacy or security concerns to consider when sharing information? If so, what should we do to address these concerns?

In addition, El Metro will review our current communications strategies and determine whether others are needed. As part of this effort, El Metro has conducted, and will continue to conduct, a Safety Culture Survey to understand how safety is perceived in the workplace and what areas El Metro should be addressing to fully implement a safety culture at our agency.

5. APPENDIX A

TABLE 8: PTASP SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

File Name	Revision Date
Accidents Incidents 2018.xlsx	2018
CIRCULATOR C1 Bifold.pdf	
COA 2015 Report.pdf	November, 2005
Customer Reporting Procedures.pdf	July, 2018
Drug and Alcohol Policy.pdf	1/15/2019
El Metro 2016 Transit Develop Appendix C.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Appendix A.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Appendix B.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 1.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 2.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 3.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 4.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 5.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 6.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 7.pdf	2016

File Name	Revision Date
City of Laredo IST Information Security Response Plan 5.5.2020	4/22/2019
Cybersecurity Training Certification (STV-4918) 8.14.2020	
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 8.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Chapter 9.pdf	2016
El Metro 2016 Transit Development Plan_Executive Summary.pdf	2016
El Metro Overview.docx	
El Metro Safety Policy 9 19 2019.doc	9/19/2019
El Metro TAMP_Appendix A.pdf	
El Metro TAMP_Appendix B.pdf	
El Metro TAMP_Chapter 1.pdf	January, 2017
El Metro TAMP_Chapter 2.pdf	January, 2017
El Metro TAMP_Chapter 3.pdf	January, 2017
El Metro TAMP_Chapter 4.pdf	January, 2017
El Metro TAMP_Chapter 5.pdf	January, 2017
El Metro TAMP_Combined.pdf	January, 2017
El Metro Vehicle Listing FY 18-19_Updated 6-20.19_Granados Copy.xlsx	6/20/2019
Employee Handbook 8-08-2017 final.docx	July, 2017
Ethics and Compliance Program.pdf	7/21/2017

File Name	Revision Date
FTA 2018 TRIENNIAL FINAL REPORT.pdf	6/11/2018
Information Security Incident Response Plan.pdf	4/22/2019
Job Descriptions.pdf	
Laredo EL Metro Asset Inventory 022717.xlsm	2/27/2017
Laredo Mass Transit Board.docx	
Laredo Transit Management and Teamsters 657 2018-21 CBA.pdf	10/1/2018
Laredo Transit Management, Inc - 2017 Agency Profile.pdf	2017
Laredo_MPO_Boundary_Map.pdf	December, 2007
LTMI Aerial Platform and Scissor Lift SOP_Rev 9-19-19.docx	10/8/2019
LTMI Bloodborne Exposure Control_Rev_9-19-19.doc	June, 2017
LTMI Electrical Safety Program_9-20-19.docx	10/8/2019
LTMI Emergency Action Plan_9-20-19.docx	10/8/2019
LTMI Employee Accident Incident Investigation and Reporting SOP.docx	3/18/2020
LTMI_EMPLOYEE_SAFETY_REPORTING_PROGRAM 3 9 2020.docx	3/9/2020
LTMI Fall Protection Policy_9-20-19.docx	10/8/2019
LTMI Funding Sources.docx	2019
LTMI Hazard Communication_9-20-19.docx	1/1/2015
LTMI Lockout Tagout_9-20-19.docx	1/27/2006
LTMI Powered Industrial Truck SOP_Rev 9-19-19.docx	9/19/2019

File Name	Revision Date
Ltmi PPE Policy_Rev 9-19-19.docx	10/8/2019
Ltmi Purchasing Policy_Revised Oct 2, 2018_Tri2018_PDF.pdf	10/2/2018
Ltmi Respiratory Protection Program_9-20-19.docx	10/8/2019
Ltmi_SAFETY_PERFORMANCE_2 10 2020.xlsx	2/10/2020
Maintenance & Facility Plan_Updated 8-8-18_pdf Format (1).pdf	8/8/2018
MOU- LAREDO MPO-TXDOT-TRANSIT - 2018- EXECUTED.pdf	2/20/2018
MPO.pdf	
EL_METRO_ORGANIZATIONAL_CHART 1.8.2021 (3) (2).docx	1/8/2021
Performance Measures.pdf	
Route 1 Bifold.pdf	
Route 2A Bifold.pdf	
Route 2B Bifold.pdf	
Route 3 Bifold.pdf	
Route 4 Bifold.pdf	
Route 5 Bifold.pdf	
Route 6 Bifold.pdf	
Route 7 Bifold.pdf	
Route 8A Bifold.pdf	
Route 8B Bifold.pdf	
Route 9 Bifold.pdf	
Route 10 Bifold.pdf	
Route 11 Bifold.pdf	
Route 12A Bifold.pdf	
Route 12B Bifold.pdf	
Route 13 Bifold.pdf	
Route 14 Bifold.pdf	
Route 15 Bifold.pdf	
Route 16 Bifold.pdf	
Route 17 Bifold.pdf	

File Name	Revision Date
Route 18 Bifold.pdf	
Route 19 Bifold.pdf	
Route 20 Bifold.pdf	
Safety Inspections Audits.pdf	
SAFETY MANAGEMENT POLICY.docx	3/17/2020
SPAC Meetings.pdf	
SPAC MEMBERSHIP 5 22 2017 (3).docx	5/24/2017
TAPTCO Training.pdf	
LTMI Workers' Compensation Policy and Procedure 7.9.2020	
DEMAND_RESPONSE_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020.xlsx	
FIXED_ROUTE_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020.xlsx	
TRANSIT_CENTER_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020.xlsx	
MAINTENANCE_DEPARTMENT_MITIGATION_MONITORING_FORMS_7 2020.xlsx	
LTMI_MITIGATION_FOLLOW_UP_FORM 8.2020.pdf	
Laredo El Metro_PTASP 7 20 2020.docx	

A. Glossary of Terms

Accident: means an event that involves any of the following: a loss of life; a report of a serious injury to a person; a collision of transit vehicles; an evacuation for life safety reasons; at any location, at any time, whatever the cause.

Accountable Executive (typically the highest executive in the agency): means a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the SMS of a public transportation agency, and control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's PTASP, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(d), and the agency's TAM Plan in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5326.

Agency Leadership and Executive Management: means those members of agency leadership or executive management (other than an Accountable Executive, CSO, or SMS Executive) who have authorities or responsibilities for day-to-day implementation and operation of an agency's SMS.

Chief Safety Officer (CSO): means an adequately trained individual who has responsibility for safety and reports directly to a transit agency's chief executive officer, general manager, president, or equivalent officer. A CSO may not serve in other operational or maintenance capacity, unless the CSO is employed by a transit agency that is a small public transportation provider as defined in this part, or a public transportation provider that does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

Corrective Maintenance: Specific, unscheduled maintenance typically performed to identify, isolate, and rectify a condition or fault so that the failed asset or asset component can be restored to a safe operational condition within the tolerances or limits established for in-service operations.

Equivalent Authority: means an entity that carries out duties similar to that of a Board of Directors, for a recipient or subrecipient of FTA funds under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, including sufficient authority to review and approve a recipient or subrecipient's PTASP.

Event: means an accident, incident, or occurrence.

Federal Transit Administration (FTA): means the Federal Transit Administration, an operating administration within the United States Department of Transportation.

Hazard: means any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment.

Incident: means an event that involves any of the following: a personal injury that is not a serious injury; one or more injuries requiring medical transport; or damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure that disrupts the operations of a transit agency.

Investigation: means the process of determining the causal and contributing factors of an accident, incident, or hazard, for the purpose of preventing recurrence and mitigating risk.

Key staff: means a group of staff or committees to support the Accountable Executive, CSO, or SMS Executive in developing, implementing, and operating the agency's SMS.

Major Mechanical Failures: means failures caused by vehicle malfunctions or subpar vehicle condition which requires that the vehicle be pulled from service.

National Public Transportation Safety Plan (NSP): means the plan to improve the safety of all public transportation systems that receive Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.

Occurrence: means an event without any personal injury in which any damage to facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure does not disrupt the operations of a transit agency.

Operator of a Public Transportation System: means a provider of public transportation as defined under 49 U.S.C. 5302(14).

Passenger: means a person, other than an operator, who is on board, boarding, or alighting from a vehicle on a public transportation system for the purpose of travel.

Performance Measure: means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets.

Performance Target: means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period required by the FTA.

Preventative Maintenance: means regular, scheduled, and/or recurring maintenance of assets (equipment and facilities) as required by manufacturer or vendor requirements, typically for the purpose of maintaining assets in satisfactory operating condition. Preventative maintenance is conducted by providing for systematic inspection, detection, and correction of anticipated failures either before they occur or before they develop into major defects. Preventative maintenance is maintenance, including tests, measurements, adjustments, and parts replacement, performed specifically to prevent faults from occurring. The primary goal of preventative maintenance is to avoid or mitigate the consequences of failure of equipment.

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP): means the documented comprehensive agency safety plan for a transit agency that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and this part.

Risk: means the composite of predicted severity and likelihood of the potential effect of a hazard.

Risk Mitigation: means a method or methods to eliminate or reduce the effects of hazards.

Road Calls: means specific, unscheduled maintenance requiring either the emergency repair or service of a piece of equipment in the field or the towing of the unit to the garage or shop.

Safety Assurance (SA): means the process within a transit agency's SMS that functions to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation and ensures that the transit agency meets or exceeds its safety objectives through the collection, analysis, and assessment of information.

Safety Management Policy (SMP): means a transit agency's documented commitment to safety, which defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the accountabilities and responsibilities of the agency's employees regarding safety.

Safety Management System (SMS): means the formal, top-down, data-driven, organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of a transit agency's safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic procedures, practices, and policies for managing risks and hazards.

Safety Management System (SMS) Executive: means a CSO or an equivalent.

Safety Objective: means a general goal or desired outcome related to safety.

Safety Performance: means an organization's safety effectiveness and efficiency, as defined by safety performance indicators and targets, measured against the organization's safety objectives.

Safety Performance Indicator: means a data-driven, quantifiable parameter used for monitoring and assessing safety performance.

Safety Performance Measure: means an expression based on a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess progress toward meeting the established targets.

Safety Performance Monitoring: means activities aimed at the quantification of an organization's safety effectiveness and efficiency during service delivery operations, through a combination of safety performance indicators and safety performance targets.

Safety Performance Target (SPT): means a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for a given performance measure, achieved over a specified timeframe related to safety management activities.

Safety Promotion (SP): means a combination of training and communication of safety information to support SMS as applied to the transit agency's public transportation system.

Safety Risk: means the assessed probability and severity of the potential consequence(s) of a hazard, using as reference the worst foreseeable, but credible, outcome.

Safety Risk Assessment: means the formal activity whereby a transit agency determines SRM priorities by establishing the significance or value of its safety risks.

Safety Risk Management (SRM): means a process within a transit agency's Safety Plan for identifying hazards, assessing the hazards, and mitigating safety risk.

Safety Risk Mitigation: means the activities whereby a public transportation agency controls the probability or severity of the potential consequences of hazards.

Safety Risk Probability: means the likelihood that a consequence might occur, taking as reference the worst foreseeable, but credible, condition.

Safety Risk Severity: means the anticipated effects of a consequence, should the consequence materialize, taking as reference the worst foreseeable, but credible, condition.

Serious Injury: means any injury which:

- Requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within seven days from the date that the injury was received;
- Results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or nose);
- Causes severe hemorrhages, nerve, muscle, or tendon damage;
- Involves any internal organ; or
- Involves second- or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than 5 percent of the body surface.

Small Public Transportation Provider: means a recipient or subrecipient of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307 that has one hundred (100) or fewer vehicles in peak revenue service and does not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system.

State: means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, or the Territories of Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Virgin Islands.

State of Good Repair: means the condition in which a capital asset is able to operate at a full level of performance.

State Safety Oversight Agency: means an agency established by a State that meets the requirements and performs the functions specified by 49 U.S.C. 5329(e) and the regulations set forth in 49 CFR part 674.

Transit Agency: means an operator of a public transportation system.

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan: means the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost-effective, and reliable public transportation, as required by 49 U.S.C. 5326 and 49 CFR part 625.

Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM): means the miles that vehicles are scheduled to or actually travel while in revenue service. Vehicle revenue miles include layover/recovery time and exclude deadhead; operator training; vehicle maintenance testing; and school bus and charter services.

B. Additional Acronyms Used

ASP: Agency Safety Plan

El Metro: Laredo Transit Management, Inc./El Metro Transit, City of Laredo, Texas

ESRP: Employee Safety Reporting Program

FAST Act: Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act

LTMI: Laredo Transit Management, Inc.

MAP-21: Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act

MOU: Memorandum of Understanding

MPO: Metropolitan Planning Organization

NTD: National Transit Database

OSHA: Occupational Safety and Health Administration

SOP: Standard Operating Procedure

SPAC: Safety Planning Advisory Committee

TxDOT: Texas Department of Transportation

6. APPENDIX B

A. City Council/Board Minutes or Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-RT-05

AUTHORIZING THE LAREDO MASS TRANSIT BOARD TO APPROVE THE SECOND VERSION OF THE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN AND ESTABLISHING SAFETY PERFORMANCE TARGETS FOR THE LAREDO TRANSIT MANAGEMENT INC. (LTMI).

WHEREAS, Safety is a core business function of all public transportation providers and should be systematically applied to every aspect of service delivery, as the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has adopted the principles and methods of Safety Management Systems (SMS) as the basis for enhancing the safety of public transportation in the United States; and

WHEREAS, On July 19, 2018 the FTA published the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) Final Rule, 49 CFR Part 673, which took effect July 19, 2019 requiring all FTA Section 5307 recipient transit agencies to, within one calendar year after July 19, 2019, establish a PTASP that meets the requirements of Part 673; and

WHEREAS, The PTASP, and subsequent updates, must be signed by the Accountable Executive and approved by the agency's Board of Directors, or an Equivalent Authority; and

WHEREAS, PTASP must document the processes and activities related to Safety Management System (SMS) implementation and include performance targets based on the safety performance measures established under the National Public Transportation Safety Plan, with those targets being shared with the Laredo-Webb County Area Metropolitan Planning Organization formerly known as the Laredo Urban Transportation Study Metropolitan Planning Organization (LUTS) and the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT); and

WHEREAS, The initial PTASP for El Metro Transit has been drafted by TxDOT per 49 CFR 673.11(d) and will remain in effect until the Laredo Transit Management Inc. (LTMI) has drafted the next version; and

WHEREAS, El Metro Transit is dedicated to ensuring that the necessary processes are in place to accomplish both enhanced safety at the local level and the goals of the NSP, as the SMS helps organizations improve upon their safety performance by supporting the institutionalization of beliefs, practices, and procedures for identifying, mitigating, and monitoring safety risks;

WHEREAS, The initial PTASP for El Metro Transit was passed by the Laredo Mass Transit Board and approved by the Mayor on May 4, 2020.

WHEREAS, The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), as the certifying agency for small public transportation providers in the State of Texas, certified the initial El Metro Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP), on July 16, 2020.

WHEREAS, The subsequent Agency Safety Plans must be certified by Laredo Transit Management, Inc. per §673.13 (b), "On an annual basis, a transit agency, direct recipient, or state must certify its compliance with this part" (*Federal Register / Vol. 83, No. 139 / Thursday, July 19, 2018 / Rules and Regulations, Pg. 34467*) and per TxDOT (*Kosub, Theodore. Texas Department of Transportation Strategic Programs Manager – Public Transportation. Email Subject: Laredo PTASP. Austin, TX, Wednesday, December 2020. TxDOT Instructions for 2021 Certification.*)

WHEREAS, The Laredo & Webb County Area Metropolitan Planning Organization approved and adopted the Laredo Transit Management Inc. (LTMI) Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) and Safety Performance Targets to incorporate into the Metropolitan and Statewide Planning Process with the Laredo Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) on September 15, 2020.

WHEREAS, El Metro Transit reviewed, revised and updated the PTASP and created the second version per §673.11 (a) (5), "Each transit agency must establish a process and timeline for conducting an annual review and update the Public Transportation Agency Plan" (*Federal Register / Vol. 83, No. 139 / Thursday, July 19, 2018 / Rules and Regulations, Pg. 34467*).

WHEREAS, All edits are documented in the Laredo Transit Management, Inc. - El Metro Transit Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan Version 2, Section B. Record of Changes, Pages 56-59.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MASS TRANSIT BOARD OF THE CITY OF LAREDO THAT:

Section 1. The Laredo Mass Transit Board approves the second version of the PTASP and the tenets of SMS including a Safety Management Policy (SMP) and the processes for Safety Risk Management (SRM), Safety Assurance (SA), and Safety Promotion (SP), per 49 U.S.C. 5329(d)(1)(A); and as

Section 2. Safety has always been a primary function at LTMI, this PTASP lays out a process to fully implement and review an SMS on a yearly and ongoing basis in order to continue compliance with the PTASP final rule.

PASSED BY THE MASS TRANSIT BOARD AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR ON THIS THE
29 DAY OF March, 2021.



PETE SAENZ
MAYOR

ATTEST:



JOSE A. VALDEZ, JR.
CITY SECRETARY



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY 
DAVID H. ARREDONDO
ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY

B. Record of Changes

The following table, Table 3, will be used to record final changes made to the ASP during the annual update. This table will be a permanent record of the changes to the ASP over time.

TABLE 9: ASP RECORD OF CHANGES

Document Version	Section/Pages Changed	Reason for Change	Reviewer Name	Date of Change
Version 1	Whole Document	Initial Version	Adrian Chavera	April 14, 2020
Version 2	Cover Page	Changed color Header and footer	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table of Contents/Page 2	Updated Page Numbers	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	List of Figures /Page 3	Replaced “Matrix” with “Matrices” and also added “Safety Risk Acceptance Actions” also Updated Page Numbers.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	List of Tables/ Page 3	Added table 5 and moved record of changes to the end due to the long changes. I also updated the page numbers.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Executive Summary/ Page 4	Changed management to Leadership.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Executive Summary/ Page 4	Capitalized administration and operations.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section 1. Executive Summary/ Page 4	“the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has developed the initial Agency Safety Plan (ASP) in collaboration with Laredo Transit Management, Inc. and the Laredo Transit Management, Inc. has updated the initial Agency Safety Plan to develop the second version of the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan in collaboration with the City of Laredo and the Laredo Mass Transit Board with fiduciary responsibility for (LTMI)”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section B/Page 5	Added this section “In addition, El Metro will certify compliance with the PTASP regulation through FTA’s Transit Award Management System (TrAMS) and the annual Certifications and Assurances process.”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section 1/Page 6	Changed “two” to “three”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 1. Agency Information/Page 7	Changed color scheme for all tables to match our brand.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 1. Agency Information, page 7	Added Monica Garcia, AGM of Administration/PIO	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021

Document Version	Section/Pages Changed	Reason for Change	Reviewer Name	Date of Change
Version 2	Table 1. Agency Information/Page 7	Added Arturo Trevino as a Key Staff member for safety.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Figure 1/Page 8	Added revised LTMI Organizational Chart.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section 3.A/Page 10	Signature line has been added for the Safety Management Policy Statement.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/ Page 11	Changed from “an” to “the” and added “LTMI”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/Page 11	Changed “the” to “their” and capitalized Supervisors.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/Page 11	Changed “head” to “leadership”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/Page 11	Added the “LTMI Employee Safety Hazard Reporting Form (Appendix A)”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/Page 11	Removed “Over the next year” and added “During the annual review”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program, last paragraph/Page 11	Removed “In order to implement “and put “LTMI Employee Safety Reporting Program Implementation”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/ Page 11	Removed “The procedures will also be provided to the union” and put “The LTMI Employee Safety Reporting Program was forwarded, via certified mail, to the Union”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting Program/Page 11	Removed “The procedures will also be provided to the union” and added “On march 23, 2020, El Metro forwarded the LTMI Employee Safety Reporting Program and memo, via certified mail, to the Union”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting program/Page 12	Removed “will also spell out” and put “also includes”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting program, 1 st paragraph, 3 rd sentence/Page 12	Added afforded “to” employees	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting program, 1 st paragraph, 3 rd sentence/Page 12	Removed “will” and added an “s” to the word “describe”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting program, 1 st Paragraph/ Page 12	Removed “will” and added an “s” to the word “elaborate”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021

Document Version	Section/Pages Changed	Reason for Change	Reviewer Name	Date of Change
Version 2	Section I. Employee Safety Reporting program, 2 nd Paragraph/ Page 12	Removed “also” and put “continue to”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section II. Communicating the Policy Throughout the Agency, 1 st paragraph/ Page 12	Added “LTMI initiated the communication on August 4, 2020 to all Executive Leadership, Administration, All Department Leadership and Route Supervisors”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section II. Communicating the Policy Throughout the Agency, Page 13	Added Email and Webex webinar to possible methods of communicating.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section B., Page 13	Removed “Laredo Urban Transportation Study” and put “Laredo & Webb county Area Metropolitan Planning Organization”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section B/Page 13	Removed “conducts a yearly” and added “conducted a”	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section B/ Page 14	Added date “October 28, 2019” for documentation purposes.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section C/Page 15	Revised timeline and deadlines.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section C/Page 15	New location of the Record of changes.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section F/Page 16	Changed Table Numbers because I moved the ASP Record of Changes to the end. So they start at 3 and end at 9. All pages were updated as well in the list of tables.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 4/Page 16	Updated Baseline data for 2020	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 5/Page 17	Added 5-year Safety Performance Data so people can see where I get the baseline.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section F/Page 18	Updated the statement on setting Safety Performance Targets.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 6., Page 18	Updated data for 2020 baseline and 2021 targets.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 6/Page 18	Updated data for 2020 baseline and 2021 targets.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section G/Page 19.	Removed “Laredo Urban Transportation Study and added “Laredo & Webb county Area Metropolitan Planning Organization”.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Section A. Safety Risk Management, Section B. Safety Assurance and Section C. Safety Promotion/ Page 21 to 43	Revised all Processes.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Figure 4/Page 22	Updated	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021

Document Version	Section/Pages Changed	Reason for Change	Reviewer Name	Date of Change
Version 2	Section I. Safety Hazard Identification/Page 24	Hazard Investigation forms inserted.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Figure 5/Page 28	Updated	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Figure 6 and 7/Page 29,30 and 31	Updated	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8 PTASP Supporting Documents/Page 45	Added Cyber Security Training and Certification 8.14.2020,	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting Documents, Page 48	Added LTMI Workers' Compensation Policy and Procedure 7.9.2020.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting Documents, Page 48	Added Demand Response Mitigation Monitoring Forms 7.2020.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting Documents, Page 48	Added fixed Route Mitigation Monitoring Forms 7.2020.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting Documents, Page 48	Added Transit Center Mitigation Monitoring Forms 7.2020.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting Documents, Page 48	Added Maintenance Department Mitigation Monitoring Forms 7.2020.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting Documents, Page 48	Added LTMI Mitigation Follow up Form 7.2020.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Version 2	Table 8. PTASP Supporting documents, Page 48	Added Laredo El Metro PTASP 7 20 2020 document.	Adrian Chavera	February 5, 2021
Header	Text	Text	Text	Text

Adrian Chavera

From: Theodore Kosub <Theodore.Kosub@txdot.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2020 2:38 PM
To: Adrian Chavera
Subject: RE: Laredo PTASP

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Good Afternoon Adrian –

I've been cleaning up my mailbox and realized this email got filed away without a reply. Awesome that you are already thinking about the next PTASP year!

There is no need to send us the PTASP for certification, each agency will certify their own plan from here on out. I believe there will be an FY 21 box in TrAMS for each provider to check.

I hope you have a great Christmas!

Thanks,
Theo

From: Adrian Chavera [mailto:achavera@ci.laredo.tx.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 11:39 AM
To: Theodore Kosub <Theodore.Kosub@txdot.gov>
Subject: Laredo PTASP

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon Mr Kosub,

I am working on our second version of the PTASP for calendar year 2021 and I wanted to confirm if we send you the approved PTASP to get certified for compliance like we initially did?

Thank you,

Adrian Chavera
El Metro Transit
Chief Safety Officer
1301 Farragut St. 1st Floor
Laredo, TX 78040
Cell Phone (956)307-1971
Phone (956)795-2280 EXT 229
Fax:(956)795-2256
<mailto:achavera@ci.laredo.tx.us>



"What's your reason for being safe?"

THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE CONTAINS PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please delete it from your system and notify the sender identified above by email.

Steps to help prevent the spread of COVID-19 if you are sick

If you are sick with COVID-19 or think you might have COVID-19, follow the steps below to care for yourself and to help protect other people in your home and community.

Stay home except to get medical care

- **Stay home.** Most people with COVID-19 have mild illness and can recover at home without medical care. Do not leave your home, except to get medical care. Do not visit public areas.
- **Take care of yourself.** Get rest and stay hydrated. Take over-the-counter medicines, such as acetaminophen, to help you feel better.
- **Stay in touch with your doctor.** Call before you get medical care. Be sure to get care if you have trouble breathing, or have any other emergency warning signs, or if you think it is an emergency.

Separate yourself from other people

As much as possible, **stay in a specific room** and away from other people and pets in your home. If possible, you should use a separate bathroom. If you need to be around other people or animals in or outside of the home, wear a cloth face covering.

- Additional guidance is available for those living in close quarters and shared housing.
- See COVID-19 and Animals if you have questions about pets.

Monitor your symptoms

- Symptoms of COVID-19 include fever, cough, or other symptoms.
- **Follow care instructions from your healthcare provider and local health department.** Your local health authorities may give instructions on checking your symptoms and reporting information.

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>

Take care of yourself and your community

Taking care of your friends and your family can be a stress reliever, but it should be balanced with care for yourself. Helping others cope with their stress, such as by providing social support, can also make your community stronger. During times of increased social distancing, people can still maintain social connections and care for their mental health. Phone calls or video chats can help you and your loved ones feel socially connected, less lonely, or isolated.

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/managing-stress-anxiety.html>



D. Discussion with possible action on Hachar-Reuthinger.

V. REPORT(S) AND PRESENTATIONS (No action required).

A. Update by TxDOT staff on three projects in the design stage currently out for public comment; intersection improvements on FM 1472, an expansion project on US 59, and a signal project at Business 59 and San Francisco.

B. Update by MPO staff of an administrative modification to the FY 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to accept the recently revised group project definitions, and an administrative modification to the 2020-2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) to include Appendix A – Short Range Projects Identified in the MTP and Listed in the TIP.

C. Presentation by MPO staff of the ranking of unfunded projects based on the survey released at the joint City, County, RMA, MPO meeting held on February 11, 2021.

D. Status report by the Regional Mobility Authority (RMA).

VI. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Angelica Quijano

From: Antonio Rodriguez <anrodriguez@HNTB.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:08 PM
To: Angelica Quijano; Kirby Snideman
Cc: Melisa Montemayor; Jed Brown; Doug Howland
Subject: RE: MPO Policy Committee Packet/April 19th, 2021

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Team, please see the update below. I apologize for the delay. If possible, please include in the Policy Committee packet. If not, we will provide this report verbally.

1. Killam Industrial Blvd. Turn Lanes – Anticipated NTP for Anderson Columbia for construction will be in February. Construction start date is anticipated for the month of June/ July. The reason for the delay is due to a lag in time for the ordering of the signal poles in the project. This is lag in time from the ordering to the arrival of signal poles is an issue that is being seen on various street projects across the state.
2. Los Presidentes (Cuatro Vientos to Brownwood) – Construction has commenced. The team has issued cut sheets for the project for use by the contractor, and the team has also received multiple RFIs which have been quickly resolved. On the Cuatro Vientos Acceleration/ Deceleration Lane project, the 90% plans will be submitted to TxDOT on the week of the 26th of April. The project is scheduled for letting in October of this year.
3. Vallecillo Road – Received a Resolution of Support by the MPO on 2/16/21 from the MPO Policy Committee Meeting. We have also received a letter of support from State Representative Richard Raymond, State Representative Tracy King, State Senator Judith Zaffirini, US Congressman Henry Cuellar. We also had a follow-up meeting with TxDOT Deputy Executive Director Marc Williams to further discuss the project. At the conclusion of the discussion, he committed to work with Chairman Bruce Bugg's schedule to meet with Chairman Jed Brown.

If you have any other questions, please let us know. I hope you have a great day.

Antonio Rodriguez, PE

WCCL RMA GEC Deputy Program Manager
Cell (512) 800-0382

From: Angelica Quijano <aquijano@ci.laredo.tx.us>
Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:55 PM
Subject: MPO Policy Committee Packet/April 19th, 2021

Good afternoon,

Below please find website link to packet for Monday's (April 19th, 2021) MPO Policy Committee virtual meeting. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our offices. Thank you.

<http://www.laredompo.org/agendas-minutes/>